

Merci

VOLUME 16 • NUMBER 2

Advances in Nanomaterials: Bioassays and Imaging

Recent Advances in the Use of Cadmium-free Quantum Dots for Bioimaging

Advances of Noble Bimetallic Nanoparticles in Detection of Glucose Level

Applications of Metallic Nanoparticles in Bio-imaging and Molecular Spectroscopy

Gold Nanoparticles for Biomolecular Diagnostics

Recent Advances on Nanoparticle-Based Imaging Contrast Agents for *In Vivo* Stem Cell Tracking

The life science business of Merck operates as MilliporeSigma in the U.S. and Canada.

Material Matters™

VOL. 16 • NO. 2

Introduction



Megan Muroski, Ph.D Global Product Manager - Nanomaterials and Energy

About the Cover

Welcome to issue 16.2 of *Material Matters*™, Advances in Nanomaterials: Bioassays and Imaging. This issue highlights recent trends associated with new material discoveries related to bioimaging, assays, diagnostics, and magnetic imaging.

In our first article, Dr. Delehanty and researchers from the Naval Research Laboratory and the University of Maryland introduce recent advances in the use of cadmium-free quantum dots for bioimaging. They focus on strategies that have emerged in the last five years in the design, synthesis, and surface modifications for non-Cd quantum dots (QDs) for bioimaging and sensing applications. The challenges of improving colloidal stability, biocompatibility, and targeting in Cd-free QD configurations, such as indium phosphide (InP) and copper indium sulfide (CuInS2 QD), are discussed.

Dr. David Medina Cruz et. al. investigate advances in noble bimetallic nanoparticles (BMNP) to more precisely measure and monitor glucose levels in the blood. This review focuses on the use of enzyme-free silver (Ag) and gold (Au) nanoparticles, such as Ag-Au, Ag-Pt, Ag-Pd, and Au-Pt, and how their structures contribute to biosensor detection. These nanomaterials allow for flexibility in detection, range, and sensitivity, which are essential for clinical translation to better manage diabetes.

In our third article by **Professor Fujita** and colleagues from Osaka University and the RIKEN Cluster for Pioneering Research, recent progress in metallic nanoparticles for bioimaging and molecular spectroscopy of biological systems is discussed. Metallic nanoparticles lend themselves to many applications in this field, including spatio-temporal tracking with microsecond time resolution, as well as multicolor imaging using the surface plasmon resonance properties of silver and gold nanoparticles. In addition to detection, the authors discuss the use of metallic nanoparticles in SERS probes for drug uptake, pH sensing, and live-cell tracking.

Exploring the use of nanomaterials in bioassays would not be complete without investigating the effects of gold nanoparticles. Drs. Fernandes and Baptista explore the synthesis and functionalization of gold nanoparticles and their use in molecular diagnostics, focusing on lateral flow assays. Due to the growing use of gold nanoparticles in these systems, they further discuss the regulations and standards of these materials, as well as the future outlook and challenges that remain in integrating gold nanoparticles into the mainstream.

In our final article, Professor Yu Cheng and co-workers at the Tongji University School of Medicine look at the recent advances of nanoparticle-based imaging contrast agents for in vivo stem cell tracking. This review provides an in-depth look at popular in vivo imaging techniques, magnetic resonance imaging, fluorescence imaging, ultrasound imaging, and photoacoustic imaging. The authors describe the advantages and disadvantages of these techniques and state-of-the-art nanoagents in the biomedical field.

Each article in this publication concludes with a list of relevant SigmaAldrich materials available from Merck. For additional product information, please visit us at SigmaAldrich.com/matsci. If you have any new product suggestions, questions or comments, or new ideas for future *Material Matters*™ issues, please contact us at SigmaAldrich.com/technicalservice.

Nanotechnology is based upon the fundamental research



that generates new types of nanoparticles that are fit for a huge variety of applications. The highly-tuned electronic, magnetic, optical, catalytic, and mechanical properties of nanomaterials have resulted from an interdisciplinary effort to study their ability to interact with biomaterials. As depicted in this cover art, the comparably sized nanoparticles and biomaterials interact to improve the sensitivity and flexibility of current detection techniques. Our Materials Science team is dedicated to advance the knowledge of nanomaterial technologies with a comprehensive portfolio of innovative materials to empower breakthroughs.

Merck KGaA Frankfurter Strasse 250 64293 Darmstadt, Germany Phone +49 6151 72 0

To Place Orders / Customer Service Contact your local office or visit SigmaAldrich.com/order

Technical Service Contact your local office or visit SigmaAldrich.com/techinfo

General Correspondence Contact your local office or visit SigmaAldrich.com/techinfo

Subscriptions Request your FREE subscription to *Material Matters*[™] at **SigmaAldrich.com/mm**

The entire *Material Matters*[™] archive is available at SigmaAldrich.com/mm

Material Matters™(ISSN 1933-9631) is a publication of Merck KGaA.

Copyright © 2021 Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany and/or its affiliates. All rights reserved. Merck, the vibrant M, Sigma-Aldrich and Material Matters are trademarks of Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany or its affiliates. All other trademarks are the property of their respective owners. Detailed information on trademarks is available via publicly accessible resources. More information on our branded products and services on MerckMillipore.com

Table of Contents

Articles

Recent Advances in the Use of Cadmium-free Quantum Dots for Bioimaging	3
Advances of Noble Bimetallic Nanoparticles in Detection of Glucose Level	11
Applications of Metallic Nanoparticles in Bioimaging and Molecular Spectroscopy	18
Gold Nanoparticles for Biomolecular Diagnostics	28
Recent Advances on Nanoparticle-Based Imaging Contrast Agents for <i>In Vivo</i> Stem Cell Tracking	34

Featured Products

	_
Quantum Dots A selection of CdS/ZnS and CdSe/ZnS Core-shell Type Quantum Dots and Cd-based Core-shell Quantum Dots with Streptavidin	7
Carboxylic Cd-based Core/Shell Quantum Dots A list of EDC and SMCC Conjugation Kits	8
Cadmium-free Quantum Dots A selection of PbS, CsPb(Cl,Br) ₃ -based Perovskite, and InP/ZnS Quantum Dots	10
Silver Nanospheres A list of Ag nanospheres for detection	15
Silver Nanoparticle Dispersions A list of Ag nanospheres for detection	17
Platinum Nanospheres A list of Pt nanospheres for detection	17
Gold Nanoshells A list of Au nanoshells for Bioimaging	23
Non-surface Functionalized Nanoparticles & Nanostructures A selection of non-surface functionalized Au nanoparticles, Au nanorods, Au nanospheres, and Au nano-urchins for Bioimaging	24
Surface Functionalized Nanoparticles & Nanostructures A selection of surface functionalized Au nanoparticles, Au nanorods, and Au nanospheres for Bioimaging	25
Surface Functionalized Nanoparticle & Nanostructure Kits A selection of surface functionalized Au nanoparticles, Au nanosh Au nanospheres, and Au nano-urchin kits for Bioimaging	32 nells,
Iron Oxide Nanoparticles A list of FeO ₂ nanoparticles for Bioimaging	39
Conjugation Kits for Iron Oxide Nanoparticles A list of EDC and SMCC Conjugation Kits for FeO ₂	40
Iron Oxide Incorporated Conjugated Polymer Nanoparticles A list of FeO ₂ incorporated conjugated polymer nanoparticles for Bioimaging	41

Your Material Matters

Buy Conton

Bryce P. Nelson, Ph.D. Materials Science Initiative Lead

We welcome novel product ideas! Do you have a material or compound that should be featured in our Materials Science portfolio? If it is needed to accelerate your research, it matters. Send your suggestion to SigmaAldrich.com/techinfo for consideration.

Selenium nanoparticles (SeNP) have recently emerged as an exciting new tool for use with many biological systems, as selenium demonstrates antimicrobial, anticancer, and antioxidant properties.¹ The development of selenium nanoparticles has gained considerable focus in biomedical and food science applications due to their low toxicity and high biocompatibility. As a trace mineral essential for human health, SeNP also exhibits excellent optical, photoelectric, and photoconductive properties and is used in advanced material formulations.²

The multidimensional applications of selenium nanoparticles, by Dr. Hanrahan and co-workers from Glantreo Limited, appeared as a new technical spotlight on SigmaAldrich.com in 2021 and discusses the use of these novel particles.³ SeNP are used in many biomedical applications, including COVID-19 diagnostic sensors, medical devices, anticancer antidiabetic, anti-inflammatory, and antimicrobial uses. In addition, SeNP can be found in applications for food supplements, solar cells, cosmetics, and agricultural/ fertilization. Collaborative efforts are still needed to broaden the current knowledge about biogenic SeNPs and better address their function in these therapeutic and environmental areas.

References:

 Vahdati, M.; Tohidi Moghadam, T. Sci. Rep. 2020, 10, 510. https://doi. org/10.1038/s41598-019-57333-7

(2) Vinković Vrček I. (2018) Selenium Nanoparticles: Biomedical Applications. In: Michalke B. (eds) Selenium. Molecular and Integrative Toxicology. Springer, Cham. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-95390-8_21</u>

(3) SigmaAldrich.com Glantro Technical Article Multidimensional Application of Selenium Nanoparticles

Name	Particle size (mm)	Cat. No.
Selenium nanoparticles,	80	919519-1ML
0.15 wt. % in water, dispersion	150	919527-1ML
uispersion	250	919659-1ML



THE FUTURE OF BIDIMAGING

Nanomaterial Bioconjugation Techniques

A guide for surface modification allowing bioconjugation of inorganic nanomaterials having applications in theranostics. Discover the latest advances and protocols in nanoparticle conjugation for:

- Magnetic Imaging
- Fluorescence Imaging
- Optical-based Imaging

Order your complimentary copy from: SigmaAldrich.com/ nanomaterials-conjugation

The life science business of Merck operates as MilliporeSigma in the U.S. and Canada.

Sigma-Aldrich.

Lab & Production Materials

Recent Advances in the Use of Cadmiumfree Quantum Dots for Bioimaging



Katherine E. Rogers,^{1,2} Okhil K. Nag,¹ Michael H. Stewart,¹ James B. Delehanty^{1*}

¹ Center for Bio/Molecular Science and Engineering, Code 6900, U.S. Naval Research

Laboratory, Washington, DC 20375

² Fischell Department of Bioengineering, 2330 Kim Engineering Building, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742

* Email: james.delehanty@nrl.navy.mil

Introduction

Quantum dots (QDs) are nanometer-sized (2-10 nm) semiconducting crystalline materials that exhibit size-dependent optoelectronic properties. Most notably, the wavelength of their photoluminescence (PL) emission tracks directly with the size of the material as synthesized. In the last few decades, QDs have garnered tremendous attention as nanoparticle (NP) platforms for various applications in electronic devices, bioimaging, biosensing, and drug delivery due to their unique features. Among the many outstanding optical properties of QDs, their large absorption coefficients, narrow emission bands, large stoke shifts, high PL quantum yield (QY), and excellent photostability are highly advantageous for biological imaging.¹ For example, a sample can be labeled with different sized QDs and excited with a single excitation source far removed to the ultraviolet portion of the spectrum to produce distinct bright emission colors in the visible, making QDs particularly suitable for multiplexed imaging. QDs can be coated with different hydrophilic surface ligands with functional chemical groups (e.g., carboxylic acid, amine) to impart colloidal stability in aqueous environments and to facilitate conjugation to biologicals (peptides, polymers, and ligands), dyes, and drugs.

QDs for biological applications typically consist of a core/shell structure capped with hydrophilic ligands. To date, QD systems based on CdSe/ZnS structures have been the primary focus of researchers due to ease of synthesis and post-synthesis surface modification. Typically, the core of these QDs are composed of elements from groups II-VI (e.g., CdTe and CdSe), with a

shell composed of ZnS. The shell protects against degradation of the core and maintains QD PL while also serving as an anchor point for ligand attachment. However, despite numerous studies demonstrating the stability and the largely innocuous nature of Cd-based QD systems in vitro and in vivo,²⁻⁴ concerns regarding the long-term persistence of Cd-containing QDs and associated heavy metal-induced toxicity have spurred interest in the development of alternative QD configurations. Over the last decade, many research groups have focused on developing fabrication methods to synthesize different sized Cd-free QDs with various core/shell configurations and surface coatings. Here, the primary focus has been developing biocompatible Cd-free QDs with optoelectronic and surface properties comparable to existing Cd-based QDs. Among the various non-Cd QDs developed, indium phosphide (InP), copper indium sulfide (CuInS₂), and graphene QDs have been highly studied for biosensing and bioimaging applications. Although many properties of these non-Cd QDs are comparable to the Cd-based QDs, their surface chemistry and colloidal and optical stability in physiological environments have lagged behind that of Cd QDs. This review provides a snapshot of the current state of the art for the design, synthesis, and surface modification strategies for non-Cd QDs for use in bioimaging and sensing applications. We focus our discussion on the progress made in the last 5 years and highlight the critical challenges to overcome to advance this field further.

Structural Constructions of Cd-free QDs

Like Cd-based QDs, Cd-free QDs also have a size range of 2-10 nm and are comprised of core/shell architectures. However, Cd-free QD cores are typically comprised of elements from groups III-V (e.g., InP) (Figure 1). These cores, particularly InP, are structurally more robust and stable due to covalent bonds in their matrix.^{5,6} However, the PL intensity and QY of these cores have generally been lower than Cd-containing core ODs. Several studies have used shell coatings with a broader bandgap material to overcome this challenge, such as ZnS and/or ZnSe layers. These shell coatings 1) reduce interactions between the exciton and the outer surface of the core nanocrystal to minimize surface defects and guenching, 2) facilitate better control of emission wavelength, PL lifetime, and QY, and 3) enhance chemical reactivity for ligand exchange. Based on the core/shell combination and band alignment of the valence and conduction bands of the constituent materials, these QDs can be divided into three types (i.e., type I, type II, and quasi type II), described elsewhere.⁷ In general, type I core/shell QDs possess a conduction band of the shell at higher energy than that of core, whereas the valence band of the shell is at lower energy relative to the core. Cd-free type I core/shell QDs, such as InP/ZnS, CuInS₂/ZnS, AgInS₂/ZnS, and ZnSe/ZnS, have been widely developed for various biological applications (Figure 1).8 In the following section, we discuss some of these examples and highlight their contribution to developing Cd-free QDs for bioimaging and sensing.

Bioimaging and Sensing with InP and CuInS₂ QDs

InP/ZnS has emerged as the most popular type of Cd-free QD for use in bioimaging.⁹ Wu et al. conjugated InP/ZnS QDs to an anti-vascular endothelial growth factor receptor-2 (VEGFR-2) monoclonal antibody and loaded them with miR-92A miRNA to inhibit proliferation of cancerous myeloid cells.¹⁰ In this way, they produced a bifunctional InP nanocomposite (IMAN) for targeted imaging and therapy. In K562 leukemia cell models examined using near-infrared (NIR) imaging, the IMAN fluoresced and

localized to the plasma membrane before entering the cells (Figure 2Ai). The researchers also demonstrated that greater doses of IMAN incubated with K562 cells for 36 hours led to more efficient cell killing than InP QDs or miR inhibitor alone (Figure 2Aii). *In vivo*, the use of the VEGFR-2 had a significant effect on the specificity of tumor localization. K562 tumorbearing nude mouse models were given tail vein injections of phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), 10 mg/kg InP QDs, or 10 mg/ kg IMAN and tracked using NIR imaging (Figure 2Aiii). Notably, while InP QDs were diffusely located within the abdomen, only IMAN localized to the tumor site. Further, the group showed that a consistent intravenous treatment of 10 mg/kg IMAN over 20 days led to significantly higher tumor volume reduction within the mouse model than the free miR-92a inhibitor (Figure 2Aiv).

Similarly, CuInS₂-based QDs have also emerged as another platform for developing heavy metal-free QDs for bioimaging. Yang et al. used 2-[bis[2-[carboxymethyl-[2-oxo-2-(2 sulfanylethylamino) ethyl]amino]ethyl]amino]acetic acid (DTDTPA)-modified CuInS₂/ZnS QDs chelated with gadolinium ions (for MRI) for a bimodal imaging nanoparticle (QDs@ DTDTPA-Gd NPs) capable of use with NIR fluorescence or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) (Figure 2Bi).¹¹ DTDTPA was chosen due to its water solubility and ability to chelate gadolinium while being able to attach to the shell of the QD. Within a HeLa-tumor bearing nude mouse model, increasing magnetic resonance signal intensity was observed within the tumor over 48 hours, subsequent to an intravenous dose of 20 mg/kg QDs@DTDTPA-Gd NPs (Figure 2Bii). A similar increase in fluorescence intensity from the QD was also observed (Figure 2Biii). Encouragingly, organs harvested from sacrificed mice after 48 hours showed that QDs@DTDTPA-Gd NPs localized primarily to the liver, tumor, and spleen via passive targeting accumulation (Figures 2Biv, 2Bv).

Another research team applied CuInS₂/ZnS QDs embedded within a glycol-chitosan matrix (GCM). After coating with 11-mercaptoundecanoic acid (MUA) to prime for use in aqueous solutions, conjugation of the composite to RGD peptides for improved tumor targeting to produce cRGDyk-GCM-QDs







Figure 2. Examples of InP and $CuInS_2$ -based QDs used for bioimaging. A) (i) K562 cells treated *in vitro* with 10 mg/L IMAN (red), Alexa 488-conjugated anti-CD63 antibody (green), and Dil (white) showing localization of IMAN to the plasma membrane. (ii) Relative cell viability of K562 cells *in vitro* after 36 hours of treatment, analyzed via MTT assay. The lower axis shows concentration. For IMAN and InP QD treatment, concentrations (1–5) were 0.01, 0.1, 1 and 50 mg/L respectively. For miR-92a inhibitor treatment, concentrations (1–5) were 0.001, 0.01, 0.1, 1 and 5 mg/L respectively. (*, P < 0.05). (iii) NIR imaging of K562 tumor-bearing mouse 1 hour after intravenous tail injection. 1) mouse injected with PBS; 2) mouse treated with 10 mg/kg InP QDs; 3) mouse treated with 10 mg/kg IMAN. The color bar displays NIR fluorescence intensity units. (iv) K562 xenograft tumor volume in nude mice after intravenous treatment every 2 days with (1) PBS (control), (2) 10 mg/kg INP QDs, (3) 1 mg/kg miR-92a inhibitor, or (4) 10 mg/kg IMAN for 20 days. (*, P < 0.05). Image adapted with permission from reference 10, copyright 2017 American Chemical Society2017. B) (i) Schematic of fabrication of QDs@ DTDTPA-Gd NPs from CuInS₂/ZnS QDs (ZCIS QDs). (ii) Magnetic resonance signal intensities of nude mice with HeLa xenograft tumors 0, 8, 24, and 48 hours after tail vein injection of 20 mg/kg QD@DTDTPA-Gd NPs. (*, p < 0.05; **, p < 0.01) (iii) Quantified fluorescence intensity (photon counts in tumor per 150 mm²) of the tumors in HeLa-xenograft tumor-bearing nude mice, 48 hours after a tail vein injection of 20 mg/kg QDs@DTDTPA-Gd NPs. (iv) Fluorescence quantification of ex *vivo* organs from HeLa-xenograft tumor-bearing nude mice, 48 hours after a tail vein injection of 20 mg/kg QDs@DTDTPA-Gd NPs. (v) Fluorescence quantification of ex *vivo* organs from HeLa-xenograft tumor-bearing nude mice, 48 hours after a tail vein injection of 20 mg/kg QDs@DTDTPA-Gd NPs. (v) Fluorescence quantification of ex *vivo* organs from HeLa-xe

(Figure 3Ai).¹² Examination of *in vitro* cell viability for GCM-QDs and MUA-QDs using an MTT assay found no significant loss in viability. *In vivo*, the researchers used MRI fluorescence imaging to observe localization of intravenously injected cRGDyk-GCM-QDs at the site of the subcutaneous RR1022 xenograft tumor within nude mice (Figure 3Aii).

Bioimaging and Sensing with Other Types of Cd-free QDs

Literature reports other Cd-free QD configurations, such as an InP core. For example, Zhang et al., formulated an oil-soluble InP/ZnSe/ZnS core/multi-shell QD. This InP/ZnSe/ZnS QD is unique in its ability to emit at two distinct wavelengths with peak emissions in the visible and near-infrared (NIR) (Figures 3Bi, ii).¹³ The surface of the InP/ZnSe/ZnS QDs was modified with a poly(acrylic acid)-octylamine amphiphilic (PAA) polymer grafted with an Arg-Gly-Asp (RGD) peptide for water solubility and tumor localization. Within a Bcl-7402 tumor-bearing mouse model, the PAA polymer/RGD-modified QDs were visually located at the tumor site using NIR fluorescence within one hour after intravenous injection of a 1 mg/mL solution of QDs (Figure 3Biii). The control MCF-7 tumor-bearing mouse model, which lacks the protein targeted by RGD, did not exhibit targeted

localization to the tumor site. The case of the Bcl-7402 mouse model treated with QDs lacking the RGD modification obtained similar results.

Although used less commonly than InP/ZnS and CuInS,/ZnS QDs, the adaptation of other types of Cd-free QDs found use in physiological applications. Zn-doped AgInS, (AIZS) QDs were utilized by Zang et al. to create biocompatible AIZSgraphene oxide (AIZS-GO) nanocomposites.¹⁴ To produce these, oleylamine-modified GO was assembled to AIZS QDs using thermal decomposition and mini emulsion (Figure 3Ci). Incubation of the AIZS-GO nanocomposites occurred in SK-BR-3 breast cancer cells, and proliferation assay (MTT) accessed the cell viability. At 0.8 μ g/mL, the cells retained 84% viability, suggesting acceptable biocompatibility and low cytotoxicity of the AIZS-GO nanocomposites (Figure 3Cii). The group further demonstrated a brief in vivo proof of ability to optically image and distinguish the AIZS-GO nanoparticles within a SK-BR-3 cancer cell-bearing mouse model (Figure 3Ciii). QDs based on ZnSe core structures are another Cd-free alternative. Zhou et al. used manganese-doped ZnSe QDs as a dual MRI/fluorescent imaging probe.¹⁵ These Mn-ZnSe QDs were loaded into mesoporous silica particles to create MSN@QDs (Figure 3Di) that were further decorated with a tumor-targeting



Figure 3. Bioimaging applications for various types of Cd-free QDs. A) (i) Schematic of the composition of cRGDyk-GCM-QDs. MUA-coated CuInS,/ZnS QDs undergo EDC/NHS chemistry with glycol-chitosan to form glycol-chitosan-coated MUA-QDs. Subsequent RGD ligand conjugation produces cRGDyk-GCM-QDs. (ii) NIR fluorescence images of an RR1022 tumor-bearing mouse, 24 hours after intravenous tail injection with cRGDyk-GCM-QDs. Top; supine position, bottom; prone position. Red indicates areas of highest fluorescence intensity. The red arrow indicates subcutaneous xenograft tumor location. Image adapted with permission from reference 12, copyright 2017 Springer Nature. B) (i) Schematic of InP/ZnSe/ZnS core/shell/shell QDs, showing the dual emission systems under a single excitation event. (ii) Maximum peak (PL) emission intensity spectra of InP core, InP/ZnSe core/shell, and InP/ZnSe/ ZnS core/shell/shell QDs. (iii) Distribution of the PPA-based amphiphilic polymer wrapped InP/ZnSe/ZnS QDs, when intravenously injected into (top row) Bcl-7402 tumor-bearing mice, (middle row) MCF-7 tumor-bearing mice, and (bottom row) Bel-7402 tumor-bearing mice after 10 min, 1 hour, 4 hours, and 12 hours. Legend; (i) and (ii) rows were treated with PAA-based polymer-QDs surface modified with cRGD peptides; (iii) denotes mice treated with PAA-based polymer-QDs lacking the cRGD surface modification. The white circle indicates the location of the tumors within the mice. Image adapted with permission from reference 13, copyright 2017 The Royal Society of Chemistry. C) (i) Schematic of the synthesis of AIZS-GO nanocomposites from graphene oxide-oleylamine (GO-OAM). (ii) Cell viability of SK-BR-3 cells incubated with varying concentrations of AIZS-GO nanocomposites for 24 hours, as determined by MTT assay. (iii) In vivo fluorescence imaging of SK-BR-3 tumor-bearing mice intravenously injected with PBS (control) or 0.04 µg AIZS-GO nanocomposites. Image adapted with permission from reference 14, copyright 2017 Elsevier. D) (i) Schematic of loading mesoporous silica nanoparticles with Mn-doped ZnSe QDs to produce MSN@QDs. (ii) 4T1 cellular uptake efficiency of QDs, MSN@QDs, and TRF-MSN@QDs as determined by cellular fluorescence after 6 hours and 12 hours. (iii) Demonstration of in vivo imaging capabilities of s-QDs, MSN@QDs, and TRF-MSN@QDs delivered at the same concentration into a nude mouse bearing RR1022 rat fibrosarcoma cells via subcutaneous injection. s-QDs did not breach background fluorescence levels. Image adapted with permission from reference 15, copyright 2018 American Chemical Society.

transferrin ligand to make TRF-MSN@QDs. The group observed increased cellular uptake with the transferrin ligand in an *in vitro* 4T1 cell model as measured by cellular fluorescence intensity (**Figure 3Dii**). In a nude mouse, subcutaneous injections of single-QDs, MSN@QDs, and TRF-MSN@QDs were given, and the fluorescence was examined (**Figure 3Diii**). While single-QDs did not register above background fluorescence levels, MSN@QDs and TRF-MSN@QDs showed significant fluorescence intensity, suggesting that the enriching the QDs aided in bioimaging capability.

Critical Considerations, Toxicity, and Future Outlook

Recent significant advancements in developing non-Cd QDs for bioimaging and sensing show promise for alternative NP materials for biological applications. Still, challenges remain in terms of the long-term persistence of the core elemental components (e.g., In, Cu, Se). Just as with Cd-containing QDs, the potential leaching of metal ions from within the QD core is influenced by the physicochemical properties of the QDs, including size, shape, and surface ligand functionalization. These properties primarily determine the fate of the QDs' biodistribution, biodegradation, clearance, and elimination when administered *in vivo*. Currently, the primary technical challenge facing non-Cd QD development is focused on improved colloidal stability, biocompatibility, and targeting. Here, lessons learned from Cd QDs guide the engineering approach, but our current knowledge about the chemistry and synthetic parameters of non-Cd QDs impedes progress.

It is still challenging to make non-Cd QDs that rival the narrow PL bandwidth, high QY, and ease of spectral tuning (UV-NIR) available in the Cd QD series. It is an even further challenge to maintain these properties when the QDs are transferred to water. To date, the highest quality Cd QDs for use in biological applications are core/shell structures where a wider-band gap inorganic shell encapsulates the core and ideally prevents leaching of potentially toxic metal ions. ZnS shells are commonly used on Cd QDs to create a non-toxic outer surface, improve their PL, and increase their chemical and photostability. Additionally, biocompatible hydrophilic ligands and bioconjugation strategies have been optimized for ZnS coated QDs. Thus, non-Cd QDs should be amenable to incorporating a ZnS shell to transfer existing technology and ease their transition for replacing Cd-based QDs. In particular, researchers have focused heavily on InP QDs as a less toxic alternative to Cd-based QDs. However, refinement of their properties via chemical synthesis is complicated by their covalent nature, available phosphorus precursors, sensitivity towards oxidation, and reaction mechanisms (nucleation and growth).¹⁶ Bare InP QDs are notorious for being prone to oxidation while at the same time exhibiting poor QYs. It is, therefore, essential to overcoat them with passivating inorganic shells, such as ZnS, to enhance their PL efficiency and stability. Despite significant advances in synthesis and shell overcoat technology, it is still challenging to tune emission wavelengths and simultaneously yield bright samples with narrow line widths. Significant research effort is being made to refine the synthesis of InP QDs, understand their surface chemistry, and optimize their inorganic surface passivation. CuInS, QDs face many of these same challenges. Indeed, the origin of emission from CuInS, QDs is still being elucidated, making it even more challenging to achieve narrow PL from these QDs.17 The formation of robust core/shell structures (e.g., CuInS₂/ ZnS) is complicated by the rich surface chemistry of CuInS, QDs coupled with the influence of precursors and reaction conditions.¹⁸ However, the successful formation of CuInS₂ QDs with stable ZnS shells enables more rapid testing of surface modifications, enhancing the potential for interfacing these QDs with biological systems.

Conclusion

Over time, the use of heavy metal-free QDs within biological applications has become more popular due to their notably reduced cytotoxicity. However, the challenge remains to develop a Cd-free QD with a narrow PL and concomitant high QY. While the core/shell model has been effective thus far when using heavy metal-free QDs in limited *in vivo* bioimaging work, these QDs still lag behind heavy metal-incorporating core/shell QDs in PL efficiency and QY. To combat this shortcoming, we expect to see further research on QD synthesis and use *in vitro*. We also expect to see further combinatorial approaches, including core/multi-shell structures and additional modifications post-QD synthesis. Looking forward, we anticipate approaches that utilize the QD's facilitation of bound ligands at its surface to enhance QD targeting ability for bioimaging use *in vivo*. Such technologies must leave the QD's imaging capabilities uncompromised while reliably directing the QD through the body to the desired imaging site. Finally, while the number of *in vivo* studies applying Cd-free QDs to bioimaging remains low compared to other approaches currently in the field of nanoscience, we believe more will emerge within the next several years.

Acknowledgments

The authors acknowledge the NRL Base Funding Program and the NRL Institute for Nanoscience for financial support. K.E.R. is a Ph.D. candidate in the Fischell Department of Bioengineering, University of Maryland College Park.

References

- (1) Zhu, C. et al. Pro. Nat. Sci. Mater. 2019, 29 (6), 628-640.
- (2) Bradburne, C. E. et al. Bioconjug. Chem. 2013, 24 (9), 1570-1583.
- (3. Ye, L. et al. Nat. Nanotechnol. 2012, 7 (7), 453-458.
- (4) Yong, K.-T. et al. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2013, 42 (3), 1236–1250.
- (5) Hussain, S. et al. Chem. Phys. Chem. 2009, 10 (9–10), 1466–1470.
- (6) Bharali, D. J. et al. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127 (32), 11364-11371.
- (7) Reiss, P. et al. *Small* **2009**, *5* (2), 154–168.
- (8) Nemati, A. Iran. J. Mater. Sci. Eng. 2020, 17 (2), 1–12.
- (9) Brunetti, V. et al. Nanoscale 2013, 5 (1), 307–317.
- (10) Wu, Y.-Z. et al. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2017, 9 (15), 13068-13078.
- (11) Yang, Y. et al. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2017, 9 (28), 23450-23457.
- (12) Kim, E.-M. et al. J. Nanopart. Res. 2017, 19 (7), 251.
- (13) Zhang, J. et al. J. Mater. Chem. B 2017, 5 (41), 8152-8160.
- (14) Zang, Z. et al. Sensor. Actuat. B-Chem. 2017, 252, 1179-1186.
- (15) Zhou, R. et al. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces **2018**, *10* (40), 34060–34067.
- Tamang, S.; Lincheneau, C.; Hermans, Y.; Jeong, S.; Reiss, P. Chem. Mater. 2016, 28 (8), 2491-2506.
- (17) Fuhr, A. S. et al. ACS Photonics 2017, 4 (10), 2425–2435.
- (18) Berends, A. C. et al. *Chem. Mater.* **2018**, *30* (7), 2400–2413.

CdS/ZnS Core-shell Type Quantum Dots

Form	Description	Fluorescence Emission, λem (nm)	Cat. No.
4 μM in PBS, dispersion	amine	450	900304-250UL
1 mg/mL in H_2O , dispersion	carboxylic acid	450	900294-1ML
lyophilized	carboxylic acid	400	900310-1MG
powder solid	carboxylic acid	425	900308-1MG
Solid	carboxylic acid	450	900332-1MG
powder	oleic acid	425	900283-10MG
solid	oleic acid	450	900282-10MG
5 mg/mL in toluene, dispersion	oleic acid	450	900334-1ML
4 μ M in H ₂ O, dispersion	PEG	450	900301-250UL

CdSe/ZnS Core-shell Type Quantum Dots

8

Form	Description	Fluorescence Emission, λ_{em} (nm)	Cat. No.
1 mg/mL in water	amine	450	919535-1MG
<u>.</u>	amine	470	919268-1MG
	amine	500	919276-1MG
	amine	520	919284-1MG
	amine	540	919292-1MG
	amine	560	919306-1MG
	amine	580	919314-1MG
	amine	600	919322-1MG
	amine	620	919330-1MG
	amine	650	919497-1MG
	carboxylic acid	470	918822-1MG
	carboxylic acid	520	918865-1MG
	carboxylic acid	540	918814-1MG
	carboxylic acid	560	919152-1MG
	carboxylic acid	580	919160-1MG
	carboxylic acid	600	919179-1MG
	carboxylic acid	620	919187-1MG
	carboxylic acid	650	919195-1MG
5 mg/mL in toluene	oleic acid	470	919039-10MG
	oleic acid	500	919047-10MG
	oleic acid	520	919055-10MG
	oleic acid	540	919063-10MG
	oleic acid	560	919071-10MG
	oleic acid	650	919136-10MG
	oleic acid	580	919209-10MG
	oleic acid	600	919217-10MG
	oleic acid	620	919225-10MG
solid	stabilized with octadecylamine ligands	540	748056-10MG
Solid			748056-25MG
	stabilized with octadecylamine ligands	560	748080-10MG 748080-25MG
	stabilized with octadecylamine ligands	580	748129-10MG 748129-25MG
	stabilized with octadecylamine ligands	600	748099-10MG 748099-25MG
	stabilized with octadecylamine ligands	620	790192-10MG 790192-25MG
	stabilized with octadecylamine ligands	630	790206-10MG 790206-25MG
4 μM in 10 mM PBS	amine	520	900229-250UL
	amine	540	900235-250UL
	amine	560	900236-250UL
	amine	580	900237-250UL
	amine	600	900238-250UL
	amine	620	900239-250UL
	amine	645	900241-250UL
1 mg/mL in H ₂ O	carboxylic acid	520	900248-1ML
	carboxylic acid	540	900221-1ML
	carboxylic acid	560	900225-1ML
	carboxylic acid	580	900222-1ML
	carboxylic acid	600	900223-1ML
	carboxylic acid	620	900224-1ML
	carboxylic acid	645	900226-1ML
5 mg/mL in toluene	stabilized with octadecylamine ligands	520	900214-1ML
	stabilized with octadecylamine ligands	540	900215-1ML
	stabilized with octadecylamine ligands	560	900216-1ML
	stabilized with octadecylamine ligands	580	900217-1ML
	stabilized with octadecylamine ligands	600	900218-1ML
	stabilized with octadecylamine ligands	620	900219-1ML
	stabilized with octadecylamine ligands	645	900250-1ML
powder	stabilized with octadecylamine ligands	645	900212-10MG
solid	stabilized with octadecylamine ligands	665	900213-10MG

Form	Description	Fluorescence Emission, λ_{am} (nm)	Cat. No.
4 μM in H ₂ O, dispersion	PEG	520	900243-250UL
. 2	PEG	580	900246-250UL
	PEG	620	900247-250UL
solid	stabilized with octadecylamine ligands	520	748021-10MG 748021-25MG

Cd-based Core-shell Quantum Dots with Streptavidin

Form	Fluorescence Emission, λ_{em} (nm)	Cat. No.
1 µM	450	OCNQSS450-0.5ML OCNQSS450-2ML
	490	OCNQSS490-0.5ML OCNQSS490-2ML
	525	OCNQSS525-0.5ML OCNQSS525-2ML
	540	OCNQSS540-0.5ML OCNQSS540-2ML
	560	OCNQSS560-0.5ML OCNQSS560-2ML
	580	OCNQSS580-0.5ML OCNQSS580-2ML
	600	OCNQSS600-0.5ML OCNQSS600-2ML
	620	OCNQSS620-0.5ML OCNQSS620-2ML
	645	OCNQSS645-0.5ML OCNQSS645-2ML
	665	OCNQSS665-0.5ML OCNQSS665-2ML

EDC Conjugation Kits for Carboxylic Cd-based Core/Shell Quantum Dots

Description	Fluorescence Emission, λ_{em} (nm)	Cat. No.
for 4 conjugation	450	OCNQCK450-1KT
for 4 conjugation	490	OCNQCK490-1KT
for 4 conjugation	525	OCNQCK525-1KT
for 4 conjugation	540	OCNQCK540-1KT
for 4 conjugation	560	OCNQCK560-1KT
for 4 conjugation	580	OCNQCK580-1KT
for 4 conjugation	600	OCNQCK600-1KT
for 4 conjugation	620	OCNQCK620-1KT
for 4 conjugation	645	OCNQCK645-1KT
for 4 conjugation	665	OCNQCK665-1KT

SMCC Conjugation Kits for Amine Cd-based Core/Shell Quantum Dots

Description	Fluorescence Emission, λ_{em} (nm)	Cat. No.
for 4 conjugation	450	OCNQAK450-1KT
for 4 conjugation	490	OCNQAK490-1KT
for 4 conjugation	525	OCNQAK525-1KT
for 4 conjugation	540	OCNQAK540-1KT
for 4 conjugation	560	OCNQAK560-1KT
for 4 conjugation	580	OCNQAK580-1KT
for 4 conjugation	600	OCNQAK600-1KT
for 4 conjugation	620	OCNQAK620-1KT
for 4 conjugation	645	OCNQAK645-1KT
for 4 conjugation	665	OCNQAK665-1KT

Cadmium-free Quantum Dots

PbS

Form	Description	Fluorescence Emission, λ_{em} (nm)	Cat. No.
10 mg/mL in toluene	oleic acid coated	900	900733-5ML
	-	1000	900734-5ML
	oleic acid coated	1100	900735-5ML
	-	1200	900736-5ML
	oleic acid coated	1300	900737-5ML
	-	1400	900738-5ML
	oleic acid coated	1500	900728-5ML
	-	1600	900727-5ML

CsPb(Cl,Br)3-based Perovskite Quantum Dots

Form	Description	Fluorescence Emission, $\lambda_{_{em}}$ (nm)	Cat. No.
10 mg/mL in toluene	oleic acid and oleylamine coated	450	900748-5ML
	oleic acid and oleylamine coated	480	900747-5ML
	oleic acid and oleylamine coated	510	900746-5ML

InP/ZnS

Form	Description	Fluorescence Emission, λ_{em} (nm)	Cat. No.
5 mg/mL in toluene	stabilized with oleylamine ligands	530	776750-5ML
	stabilized with oleylamine ligands	560	776793-5ML
	stabilized with oleylamine ligands	590	776769-5ML
	stabilized with oleylamine ligands	620	776777-5ML
	stabilized with oleylamine ligands	650	776785-5ML

be sciencesational

Bolder chemistry to empower your discovery

Scientific discovery is a revolution, not an evolution. It requires products you know and trust. But also, some you've never seen before.

Discover how we help you to stay sciencesational on: SigmaAldrich.com/sciencesational



The life science business of Mer operates as MilliporeSigma i the U.S. and Ca

Sigma-Aldrich

Merck

Lab & Production Materials

Material Matters

11

Advances of Noble Bimetallic Nanoparticles in Detection of Glucose Level



Catherine P. O'Connell*, Linh B. Truong*, Thomas J. Webster+, David Medina Cruz+ Department of Chemical Engineering, Northeastern University, Boston, MA 02115, USA *These authors contributed the same to the article *Email: davidmedinacrz@gmail.com, th.webster@neu.edu

Introduction

Diabetes mellitus is a disease characterized by insulin insufficiency, which causes spikes in blood glucose (hyperglycemia), or reduced glucose (hypoglycemia).¹ While Type 1 Diabetes is characterized by the lack of insulin production from birth, Type II, the most common form of diabetes, is related to the improper use of insulin in the body. Since diabetes is an incurable disease, many patients must learn to manage and treat it appropriately.

Controlling glucose levels is a critical step for successful management of diabetes.¹ Currently, blood-glucose concentration can be measured directly through a glucose biosensor or indirectly using a hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) biosensor. Glucose molecules break down into H_2O_2 , which links the analysis of H_2O_2 to glucose concentration and presenting an orthogonal approach to glucose sensing.²⁻⁴ However, blood-glucose monitoring can be an invasive process, requiring a portion of the instrument to pierce the skin. Furthermore, traditional glucose sensors depend on enzymatic activity, which relies on temperature, humidity, and interference. Therefore, their windows of effectiveness and accuracy are narrow.⁵

Thus, there is a need to develop new glucose monitoring methods that are less invasive and more reliable. Nanotechnology can offer several potential approaches to addressing this challenge. Monometallic nanoparticles (NPs) have improved surface characteristics and catalytic properties depending on the element used. However, they suffer from some limitations in terms of toxicity and physicochemical properties. Noble bimetallic nanoparticles (BMNPs) present an alternative that can achieve significant functionality enhancement by combining different metal elements — making BMNPs useful potential tools in blood-glucose biosensing with limited invasiveness and enzymatic dependence. Research shows BMNPs have improved the analytical performance of sensors concerning sensitivity and selectivity. 6

Research has already demonstrated the application of gold (Au) and silver (Ag) NPs for use in glucose monitoring.⁷ Au-based nanostructures exhibit the ability to oxidize glucose, making them ideal for measuring glucose concentration. Meanwhile, Ag-based structures exhibit the ability to induce an etching effect from the H_2O_2 , making them ideal for measuring H_2O_2 concentration.⁸ Combining these or other noble metals may provide an exciting alternative; as such, we discuss the current advances in noble BMNPs, specifically Au-based and Ag-based structures, and their glucose detection applications.

Ag-based Nanoparticles

Ag-based NPs, such as Ag-Au, Ag-Pt, and Ag-Pd NPs, exhibit exciting changes in their localized surface plasmon resonance (SPR), which help detect changes in H_2O_2 concentration.⁹ Based on these characteristics, researchers can combine Ag with other metals to produce a stable, sensitive, enzyme-free biosensor for H₂O₂. For instance, Li et al. constructed a novel Ag-Au NPs alloy embedded into copper oxide (Cu₂O) nanocubes on reduced graphene oxide (RGO) nanosheets for H₂O₂ detection. The nanocomposite synthesis occurred in a two-step photo-reduction method, depositing the product onto RGO sheets. The structure showed suitable electrocatalytic activities in the presence of H_2O_2 , with a linear range of 0.05–50.75 mmol/L (mM) at -0.2 V, a detection limit of 0.1 μ M, and sensitivity of 0.14 μ A mM⁻¹cm⁻². Moreover, the nanocomposite exhibited selectivity in the presence of interfering species, along with a fast response time concerning changes in H_2O_2 concentration, resetting a steady state after 2 seconds.10

Similarly, researchers used a green synthesis approach to fabricate Ag-Au NPs using alginate which were subsequently deposited onto a RGO surface. The resulting Ag-Au/RGO product was deposited onto a carbon electrode. The resulting sensor exhibited high stability and selectivity to H_2O_2 and demonstrated wide dynamic range and high sensitivity. Interestingly, the study showed that the reduction of H_2O_2 was limited by the diffusion rate, not the catalysis rate.¹¹ Sun et al. also utilized Ag-Au NPs performing *in situ* reductions by polydopamine (PDA) onto a carbon-fiber microelectrode. At optimal conditions at 20:80 Ag:Au atomic composition, the resulting electrode gave linear measurements over the range of 0–0.055 mM and 0.055–2.775 mM, with excellent sensitivity of 12966 μ A mM⁻¹cm⁻² and 2534 μ A mM⁻¹cm⁻², respectively. These results indicated that this sensor could potentially be suitable to detect trace amounts of H_2O_2 .¹²

Other metal elements beyond Ag-Au NPs structures have also been utilized because of their synergistic effect. For instance, research shows platinum (Pt) can enhance the material's overall electrocatalytic activities.13 In an interesting report, equimolar Ag-Pt NPs were synthesized, deposited onto the RGO surface, and coated on glassy carbon electrodes. This resulted in a wide linear range of measurement, high sensitivity, and a low detection limit. This performance was attributed to the synergistic combination of the RGO and Ag-Pt NPs. Samples of fetal bovine serum were tested using the Aq-Pt/RGO sensor, showing results within 5% of known concentration. Researchers also demonstrated glucose detection by measuring glucose oxidase presence.¹⁴ Additionally, an alternative method of UV-assisted synthesis of Ag-Pt NPs/ RGO nanocomposite was recently performed. Significantly, this structure exhibited enzyme-like kinetics, catalyzing 3,3',5,5'-tetramethylbenzidine (TMB) in the presence of H_2O_2 . The reaction produced a light blue color. Therefore, a colorimetric assay was used to determine the concentration of H₂O₂ instead of an electrochemical assay. At 652 nm absorbance, the assay exhibited a linear range of 0-1 mM, with the limit of detection of 0.9μ M. This work showed potential for a fast and portable strip test of H₂O₂ concentration.¹⁵

Like Pt, palladium (Pd) shows many advantageous properties, including its high electrocatalytic activity.¹⁶ Guler et al. investigated its potential for H_2O_2 detection using carbon electrodes coated with Aq-Pd NPs/Naflon (NA) on RGO nanocomposites, where NA was used as a protective membrane to the electrode's surface. The RGO was functionalized with (3-aminopropyl) triethoxysilane (APTES) before exposure to Ag-Pd NPs/NA. Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR), X-ray diffraction (XRD), and Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) characterization confirmed the formation of the structure. Catalytic performance was tested through cyclic voltammetry, and the result indicated enhanced electrochemical activity of the Ag-Pt/NA electrode compared with only NA, Ag-NA, and Pt-NA electrodes, proving the synergistic effect of Ag and Pt. Samples of milk were analyzed to validate the sensor's applicability, and the H_2O_2 concentration was determined to be accurate, with deviations within 3% of the known values, suggesting the sensor's readiness for analysis of relevant samples.¹⁷

Overall, the detection of H_2O_2 using Ag-based BMNP structures presents a novel method that is both sensitive, stable, and enzyme independent. Measurement of H_2O_2 using Ag-based BMNPs can indicate the concentration of glucose as well as the enzyme's activity in the oxidation pathway — potentially providing more information than just glucose sensing.

Au-based Nanoparticles

Au-Pt NPs have been used frequently as catalysts in oxidation reactions since the noble metal synergy shows sound synergistic effects. For example, Pt can act as the leading site for a dehydrogenation reaction, and Au and Pt can synergistically facilitate oxidative desorption and CO adsorption.¹⁸ These synergistic catalytic activities of Au-Pt NPs have been utilized recently in glucose sensors for diabetes.

For example, Shim et al. developed core-shell structured Au-Pt NPs with Au cores and Pt shells coated onto a carbon electrode (Figure 1B-1C). They were synthetically produced and purified by centrifugation, with additional Au incorporated in the nano-channels. The Au-Pt nanoshells showed improved sensitivity, stability, and selectivity. The fabricated sensor possessed desirable dynamic ranges and detection limits as listed in PBS saline solution at 0.35 V potential. No sensitivity data was reported, but a stability test indicated only a 5% deviation in measurement after 30 days at a pH of 7.5. Also, minimal interference effect from other bio-compounds was observed.¹⁹ This result showed that Au-Pt sensors might exhibit resistance to other interfering molecules, thus, showing their selectivity.

Furthermore, Lin et al. synthesized a Au-Pt alloy using a hydrothermal reactor, loaded on nano-carbon carriers, and then modified onto glassy carbon electrodes. The result indicated that the optimal Au:Pt ratio for catalytic activity was 1:1. Stability testing was performed using 10mM glucose over an applied range of -0.6 to 0.6 volts for four days. After two days, the modified electrode maintained 95.4% of its initial current response, and after four days, the modified electrode maintained 84.5% of its initial response. Once the electrode was cleaned and analyzed between -0.5 V and 1.3 V with a newly fabricated electrode, the result was similar (**Figure 1D**).²⁰

Doped electrodes are a novel approach for the design of AuPt NPs-enhanced sensors. To do this, researchers synthesized a boron-doped diamond electrode, and electrochemically deposited Au-Pt was the boron-doped diamond electrode's surface.²¹ The sensor's optimal composition was observed at a 1:1 Au:Pt molar ratio, consistent with orthogonal studies. A stability study indicated 93% retention of initial current and observed high selectivity toward glucose; additionally, studies showed acceptable reproducibility with 3.2% reproducibility.

Primarily used to measure glucose concentration directly, Au-based bimetallic nanostructures also show versatility for H_2O_2 detection applications. Zhou et al. published research where Au-Pt NPs were electrodeposited onto molybdenum disulfide (MoS₂) nanoflowers for enzyme-free sensing of H_2O_2 . The entire structure was then placed on a glassy carbon electrode. The

12



Figure 1. A) Schematic of the synthesis of Fe3O4@SiO2-NH2-Au@PdNPs. Reprinted with permission from reference 24, copyright 2020 American Chemical Society. B) High-angle annular dark-field scanning transmission electron microscope (HAADF-STEM) image of Au@Pt nanoparticles and C) of Au@Pt/Au nanoparticles. Reprinted with permission from reference 19, copyright 2019 Elsevier. D) Linear Sweep Voltammetry (LSV) of Pt/C, Au/C, and PtAu in 0.08M NaOH in the absence and presence of 10mM glucose. Reprinted with permission from reference 20, copyright 2020 Elsevier. E) Differential Pulse Voltammetry (DPV) responses of the Au-Pd/MoS2/GCE in H_2O_2 with different concentrations from 0.8μ m to 10mM in Phosphate Buffered Saline (PBS) solution. Reprinted with permission from reference 25, copyright 2020 Elsevier.

 $AuPt-MoS_2$ nanoflowers enhanced the electrode's performance. Like other Au-Pt structures, good stability was demonstrated (up to 20 days), with the response retaining 101.1% of the initial current response, while recording minimal interfering effects from other biomolecules.²²

Because of its similar characteristics to Pt, Pd has also been used in conjunction with Au to substitute enzymatic biosensors in glucose monitoring. Au-Pd can enhance the catalytic activity of MoS₂ nanosheets because of the metal-metal interactions, promoting ion transfer to nanosheets, increasing the surface charge, and improving the affinity towards substrates.²³ Both factors contribute to the peroxidase-like activity of Au-Pd nanomaterials.

Andeniyi et al. developed nanomagnet-silica NPs decorated with Au-Pd. After synthesis of the magnetic NPs, Au-Pd was added via self-assembly. Interestingly, compared to horseradish-peroxidase, the peroxidase activity of the NPs was shown to be higher with a 70% increase in activity while following typical Michaelis-Menten enzyme kinetics, attributed to the synergistic interactions between Au and Pd. The addition of these nanostructures to a biosensor demonstrates improved selectivity, sensitivity, and stability compared to horse radish peroxidase — a known H_2O_2 catalysis (**Figure 1A**). Thus, these NPs have enhanced colorimetric biosensing ability for glucose detection.²⁴

Furthermore, Li et al. synthesized MoS_2 nanosheets modified with Au-Pd NPs using a facile thermal co-reduction method, coated onto glassy carbon electrodes. Impressively, the resulting electrode acted as a bifunctional sensor for both glucose and H_2O_2 . The synthesized non-enzymatic glucose sensor showed optimal linear response and sensitivity, as in the H_2O_2 application. Additionally, the modified electrode showed high selectivity to both H_2O_2 and glucose (**Figure 1E**). After 15 days, the electrode's performance indicated a 98% retention of the initial current response, providing strong stability. Determination of reproducibility for the five independent sensors found the RSD for glucose to be 8.2% and 7.5% for H_2O_2 .²⁵

The listed studies indicated the applicability and versatility of Au-based BMNPs in glucose detection. The resulting structures showed various ranges, thus offering customization to specific needs. Besides, the sensors remained selective toward glucose and stable in a biological environment.

A summary of current advances in Ag-based and Au-based noble bimetallic NP structure for glucose detection is found in **Table 1**.

Conclusion

There is a rising demand for an alternative, enzyme-free approach to monitoring glucose levels to manage diabetes. The catalytic activity, selectivity, and stability of BMNPs structures combined with the synergistic effects of different metal elements have the potential to provide more accurate and reliable glucose detection, both directly and by indirectly measuring H_2O_2 . Here, we detailed various advances in the synthesis, characterization, and performance of Ag-based and Au-based BMNPs sensors. Overall, these structures provide flexibility in detection range, sensitivity, detection limits, and exhibit high selectivity and stability, properties that are highly sought after for helping diabetes patients monitor hypoglycemia and hyperglycemia episodes.

Table 1. Summary of Ag-based and Au-based Bimetallic NP Structure in Glucose Detection.

Bimetallic NPs-Modified Structure	Detected Molecules	Range (mM)	Detection Limit (μ M)	Sensitivity (µA·mM ⁻¹ cm ⁻²)	Ref
Ag-Au-Cu ₂ O on RGO	H ₂ O ₂	0.05 - 50.75	0.1	0.14	10
Ag-Au on RGO	H ₂ O ₂	0.1 - 10	0.57	112	11
Ag-Au/PDA	H ₂ O ₂	0 - 0.055; 0.055 - 2.775	0.12	12966 2534	12
Ag-Pt on RGO	H ₂ O ₂	0.005 - 1.5; 1.5 - 7.0	0.04	699.6 402.7	14
Ag-Pt on RGO	H ₂ O ₂	0 - 1	0.9	Not Reported (NR)	15
Ag-Pd-NA on RGO	H ₂ O ₂	0.002 - 19.5	0.7	1307	17
Au-Pt	Glucose	0.01 - 10	3	NR	20
Au-Pt	Glucose	0.0005 - 0.01 0.01 - 10	0.4457	NR	19
Au-Pt	Glucose	0.01 - 7.5	7.7	NR	21
Au-Pt-MoS2	H2O2	0.01 - 19.070	0.39	142.68	22
Au-Pd – $Fe_3O_4SiO_2$	Glucose	0.0001 - 0.06	0.06	NR	24
Au-Pd-MoS2	Glucose	0.5 - 20 0.0008 - 10	400 0.16	184.9	25

Nonetheless, despite promising results, in vivo experiments utilizing these modified electrodes still need to be performed. Therefore, future studies in the field should focus on validation in animals and ultimately to clinical translation. In the process, BMNPs have the potential to reduce invasiveness to the patient and improve device stability, two imperatives for diabetes management research and biosensing applications in general.

References

14

- Bruen, D.; Delaney, C.; Florea, L.; Diamond, D. *Sensors (Switzerland)* **2017**, *17* (8), 1-21. https://doi.org/10.3390/s17081866. (1)
- Qin, X.; Lu, W.; Luo, Y.; Chang, G.; Asiri, A. M.; Al-Youbi, A. O.; Sun, X. Anist. **2012**, *137* (4), 939–943. https://doi.org/10.1039/c2an15996a. Lin, L.; Song, X.; Chen, Y.; Rong, M.; Zhao, T.; Wang, Y.; Jiang, Y.; Chen, X. Anal. Chim. Acta **2015**, *869*, 89–95. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. (3)aca.2015.02.024.
- Zong, C.; Wang, M.; Li, B.; Liu, X.; Zhao, W.; Zhang, Q.; Liang, A.; Yu, Y. *RSC Adv.* **2017**, *7* (43), 26559–26565. https://doi.org/10.1039/ (4)c7ra01498h.
- Shim, K.; Lee, W. C.; Heo, Y. U.; Shahabuddin, M.; Park, M. S.; Hossain, M. S. A.; Kim, J. H. *Sci. Rep.* **2019**, *9* (1), 1–7. https://doi. (5) org/10.1038/s41598-018-36759-5.
- Mandal, R.; Baranwal, A.; Srivastava, A.; Chandra, P. Biosens. (6) Bioelectron. 2018, 117, 546-561. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. bios.2018.06.039.
- Agasti, S. S.; Rana, S.; Park, M. H.; Kim, C. K.; You, C. C.; Rotello, V. M. *Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.* **2010**, *62* (3), 316–328. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addr.2009.11.004. (7)
- (8)
- Gao, Y.; Wu, Y.; Di, J. Spectrochim. Acta A Mol. Biomol. Spectrosc.
 2017, 173, 207–212. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.saa.2016.09.023.
 Tashkhourian, J.; Hormozi-Nezhad, M. R.; Khodaveisi, J.; Dashti,
 R. A Sensor. Actuat. B-Chem. 2011, 158 (1), 185–189. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sab.2011.07.001 (9) org/10.1016/j.snb.2011.06.002.
- Li, D.; Meng, L.; Xiao, P.; Jiang, D.; Dang, S.; Chen, M. J. Electroanal. Chem. 2017, 791, 23–28. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. (10)jelechem.2017.03.010.

- (11) Zhao, L.; Wang, Y.; Zhao, X.; Deng, Y.; Li, Q.; Xia, Y. Nanomaterials 2018, 8 (7), 507. https://doi.org/10.3390/nano8070507.
- (12) Sun, W.; Cai, X.; Wang, Z.; Zhao, H.; Lan, M. *Microchem. J.* 2020, 154, 104595. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.microc.2020.104595.
- Siriviriyanun, A.; Imae, T. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2013, 15 (14), 4921–4929. https://doi.org/10.1039/c3cp43636e.
- (14) Zhang, C.; Zhang, Y.; Du, X.; Chen, Y.; Dong, W.; Han, B.; Chen, Q. *Talanta* **2016**, *159*, 280–286. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. talanta.2016.06.047.
- Yao, L.; Kong, F. Y.; Wang, Z. X.; Li, H. Y.; Zhang, R.; Fang, H. L.; Wang, W. *Microchim. Acta* **2020**, *187* (7). https://doi.org/10.1007/ (15)s00604-020-04350-3.
- (16)Klinkova, A.; De Luna, P.; Sargent, E. H.; Kumacheva, E.; Cherepanov, P. V. J. Mater. Chem. A 2017, 5 (23), 11582-11585. https://doi. org/10.1039/c7ta00902j.
- (17)Guler, M.; Turkoglu, V.; Bulut, A.; Zahmakiran, M. Electrochim. Acta 2018, 263, 118-126. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.electacta.2018.01.048.
- Luo, J.; Njoki, P. N.; Lin, Y.; Mott, D.; Wang, L.; Zhong, C. J. Langmuir (18)2006, 22 (6), 2892-2898. https://doi.org/10.1021/la0529557
- Shim, K.; Lee, W. C.; Park, M. S.; Shahabuddin, M.; Yamauchi, Y.; Hossain, M. S. A.; Shim, Y. B.; Kim, J. H. Sensor. Actuator. B-Chem. (19)2019, 278, 88-96. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2018.09.048.
- (20)Lin, L.; Weng, S.; Zheng, Y.; Liu, X.; Ying, S.; Chen, F.; You, D. J. Electroanal. Chem. 2020, 865, 114147. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jelechem.2020.114147.
- Nantaphol, S.; Watanabe, T.; Nomura, N.; Siangproh, W.; Chailapakul, O.; Einaga, Y. *Biosens. Bioelectron.* **2017**, *98*, 76–82. https://doi. (21)org/10.1016/j.bios.2017.06.034.
- Zhou, J.; Zhao, Y.; Bao, J.; Huo, D.; Fa, H.; Shen, X.; Hou, C (22) Electrochim. Acta 2017, 250, 152-158. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. electacta.2017.08.044.
- (23) Liu, X.; Huang, D.; Lai, C.; Qin, L.; Zeng, G.; Xu, P.; Li, B.; Yi, H.; Zhang, M. Small 2019, 15 (17). https://doi.org/10.1002/smll.201900133.
- Adeniyi, O.; Sicwetsha, S.; Mashazi, P. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2020, 12 (2), 1973–1987. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.9b15123. (24)
- (25)Li, X.; Du, X. Sensor. Actuat. B-Chem. 2017, 239, 536-543. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.snb.2016.08.048.

Silver Nanospheres

Description	Concentration	Dimension	Cat. No.
420 nm	1 mg/mL in water	avg. part. size 40 nm	807575-1ML
	1 mg/mL in water	avg. part. size 50 nm	807583-1ML
_{max} 496 nm	1.10 mg/mL in water	avg. part. size 60 nm	809462-1ML
_{max} 460 nm	1 mg/mL in water	avg. part. size 80 nm	807567-1ML
_{max} 490 nm	1 mg/mL in water	avg. part. size 100 nm	807559-1ML
_{max} 515 nm	1 mg/mL in water	avg. part. size 200 nm	807540-1ML
430 nm	1 mg/mL in water	avg. part. size 60 nm	807419-1ML
_{max} 460 nm	1 mg/mL in water	avg. part. size 80 nm	807427-1ML
_{max} 490 nm	1 mg/mL in water	avg. part. size 100 nm	807435-1ML
495 nm	1 mg/mL in water	avg. part. size 200 nm	807443-1ML
_{max} 420 nm	1 mg/mL in water	avg. part. size 50 nm	807400-1ML
400 nm	0.02 mg/mL (in 2mM aqueous sodium citrate)	avg. part. size 30 nm	796123-25ML
_{max} 420 nm	0.02 mg/mL (in 2mM aqueous sodium citrate)	avg. part. size 50 nm	796131-25ML
_{max} 455 nm	0.02 mg/mL (in 2mM aqueous sodium citrate)	avg. part. size 80 nm	796158-25ML
_{max} 485 nm	0.02 mg/mL (in 2mM aqueous sodium citrate)	avg. part. size 200 nm	796166-25ML
_{max} 435 nm	0.02 mg/mL in water	avg. part. size 60 nm	796425-25ML
_{nax} 470 nm	0.02 mg/mL in water	avg. part. size 80 nm	796433-25ML
_{nax} 495 nm	0.02 mg/mL in water	avg. part. size 100 nm	796441-25ML
max 500 nm	0.02 mg/mL in water	avg. part. size 200 nm	796468-25ML
_{nax} 415 nm	0.02 mg/mL in water	avg. part. size 40 nm	796204-25ML
_{nax} 420 nm	0.02 mg/mL in water	avg. part. size 50 nm	796212-25ML
_{nax} 430 nm	0.02 mg/mL in water	avg. part. size 60 nm	796220-25ML
_{nax} 470 nm	0.02 mg/mL in water	avg. part. size 80 nm	796239-25ML
_{nax} 485 nm	0.02 mg/mL in water	avg. part. size 100 nm	796247-25ML
_{nax} 490 nm	0.02 mg/mL in water	avg. part. size 200 nm	796255-25ML
max max 410 nm	0.02 mg/mL in water	avg. part. size 40 nm	796301-25ML
_{nax} 420 nm	0.02 mg/mL in water	avg. part. size 50 nm	796328-25ML
_{max} 430 nm	0.02 mg/mL in water	avg. part. size 60 nm	796336-25ML
max 470 nm	0.02 mg/mL in water	avg. part. size 80 nm	796344-25ML
_{max} 500 nm	0.02 mg/mL in water	avg. part. size 200 nm	796360-25ML
_{max} 395 nm	0.02 mg/mL in water	avg. part. size 20 nm	795933-25ML
VP functionalized, λ_{max} 485 nm	0.02 mg/mL in water	avg. part. size 200 nm	796026-25ML
iconix VP 40 kDa (Polymer)	dried	5 nm	NCXSEPD5-50MG NCXSEPD5-150MG NCXSEPD5-500MG NCXSEPD5-1000MG
	dried	25 nm	NCXSEPD25-50MG NCXSEPD25-150MG NCXSEPD25-500MG NCXSEPD25-1000MC
	dried	50 nm	NCXSEPD50-50MG
	dried	75 nm	NCXSEPD75-50MG NCXSEPD75-150MG NCXSEPD75-500MG NCXSEPD75-1000MG
	dried	110 nm	NCXSEPD110-50MG NCXSEPD110-150MG NCXSEPD110-500MG NCXSEPD110-500MG

Concentration	Dimension	Cat. No.
dried	5 nm	NCXAGPD5-1MG
	2	NCXAGPD5-5MG NCXAGPD5-10MG
dried	10 nm	NCXAGPD10-1MG NCXAGPD10-5MG NCXAGPD10-10MG
dried	20 nm	NCXAGPD20-1MG NCXAGPD20-5MG NCXAGPD20-10MG
dried	30 nm	NCXAGPD30-1MG NCXAGPD30-5MG NCXAGPD30-10MG
dried	40 nm	NCXAGPD40-1MG NCXAGPD40-5MG NCXAGPD40-10MG
dried	50 nm	NCXAGPD50-1MG NCXAGPD50-5MG NCXAGPD50-10MG
dried	60 nm	NCXAGPD60
dried	70 nm	NCXAGPD70-1MG NCXAGPD70-5MG NCXAGPD70-10MG
dried	75 nm	NCXAGPD75-1MG NCXAGPD75-5MG NCXAGPD75-10MG
dried	80 nm	NCXAGPD80-1MG NCXAGPD80-5MG NCXAGPD80-10MG
dried	100 nm	NCXAGPD100-1MG NCXAGPD100-5MG NCXAGPD100-10MG
dried	200 nm	NCXAGPD200-1MG NCXAGPD200-5MG NCXAGPD200-10MG
5 mg/mL in water	5 nm	NCXSEPE5-25ML NCXSEPE5-100ML NCXSEPE5-500ML
5 mg/mL in water	25 nm	NCXSEPE25-25ML NCXSEPE25-100ML NCXSEPE25-500ML
5 mg/mL in water	50 nm	NCXSEPE50-25ML NCXSEPE50-100ML NCXSEPE50-500ML
5 mg/mL in water	75 nm	NCXSEPE75-25ML NCXSEPE75-100ML NCXSEPE75-500ML
5 mg/mL in water	110 nm	NCXSEPE110-25ML NCXSEPE110-100ML NCXSEPE110-500ML
1 mg/mL in ethanol	50 nm	NCXAGAH50-1ML NCXAGAH50-5ML NCXAGAH50-10ML
1 mg/mL in ethanol	70 nm	NCXAGAH70-1ML NCXAGAH70-5ML NCXAGAH70-10ML
1 mg/mL in ethanol	100 nm	NCXAGAH100-1ML NCXAGAH100-5ML NCXAGAH100-10ML
1 mg/mL in ethanol	50 nm	NCXAGSH50-1ML NCXAGSH50-5ML NCXAGSH50-10ML
1 mg/mL in ethanol	70 nm	NCXAGSH70-1ML NCXAGSH70-5ML NCXAGSH70-10ML
1 mg/mL in ethanol	100 nm	NCXAGSH100-1ML NCXAGSH100-5ML NCXAGSH100-10ML
	dried dried dried dried dried dried dried dried dried dried fried	dried 20 nm dried 30 nm dried 40 nm dried 50 nm dried 60 nm dried 70 nm dried 70 nm dried 70 nm dried 200 nm dried 100 nm dried 200 nm dried 200 nm 2 s mg/mL in water 51 nm 5 mg/mL in water 51 nm 5 mg/mL in water 50 nm 5 mg/mL in water 75 nm 5 mg/mL in water 110 nm 1 mg/mL in ethanol 50 nm 1 mg/mL in ethanol 100 nm

Silver Nanoparticle Dispersion

Description	Concentration	Dimension	Cat. No.
-	0.02 mg/mL in aqueous buffer	particle size 10 nm (TEM)	730785-25ML
	0.02 mg/mL in aqueous buffer	particle size 20 nm (TEM)	730793-25ML
	0.02 mg/mL in aqueous buffer	particle size 40 nm (TEM)	730807-25ML
	0.02 mg/mL in aqueous buffer	particle size 60 nm (TEM)	730815-25ML
	0.02 mg/mL in aqueous buffer	particle size 100 nm (TEM)	730777-25ML
	5 wt. % in ethylene glycol	<100 nm (particle size TEM)	758329-5G 758329-25G
for printing on ITO and glass	50-60 wt. % in tetradecane	particle size \leq 10 nm	736511-25G 736511-100G
	30-35 wt. % in triethylene glycol monoethyl ether	particle size \leq 50 nm	736481-100G
for printing on ITO films	30-35 wt. % in triethylene glycol monomethyl ether	particle size ≤50 nm	736473-25G 736473-100G
for printing on plastic films	30-35 wt. % in triethylene glycol monomethyl ether	particle size ≤50 nm	736465-25G 736465-100G
for printing on polyimide films	50-60 wt. % in tetradecane	particle size \leq 10 nm	736503-25G 736503-100G

Platinum Nanospheres

Description	Concentration	Dimension	Cat. No.
-	0.05 mg/mL (2 mM aqueous sodium citrate buffer)	diameter 5 nm	916056-100ML 916056-25ML
	0.05 mg/mL (2 mM aqueous sodium citrate buffer)	diameter 30 nm	916307-25ML 916307-100ML
citrate functionalized, 50 nm diameter, 0.05 mg/mL in 2 mM aqueous sodium citrate buffer	-	-	915041-100ML 915041-25ML
-	0.05 mg/mL (4 mM aqueous sodium citrate buffer)	diameter 70 nm	915300-25ML 915300-100ML

Functionalized Gold Nanoparticles

Gold nanoparticles can be used to target cells for imaging and photothermal therapy, drug delivery, to detect biomarkers, and for immunoassays, including lateral flow assys.

We offer a wide range of nanoparticle shapes, sizes, and functionalizations for all your applications.

Discover more at SigmaAldrich.com/biogold



The life science business of Merck operates as MilliporeSigma in the U.S. and Canada.

Sigma-Aldrich.

Merck

Lab & Production Materials

Applications of Metallic Nanoparticles in Bio-imaging and Molecular Spectroscopy





Jun Ando,¹ Kazuki Bando,² Kota Koike,^{2,3} Katsumasa Fujita,^{2,3,4*}

¹ RIKEN Cluster for Pioneering Research, 2-1 Hirosawa, Wako, Saitama 351-0198, Japan

- ² Department of Applied Physics, Osaka University, 2-1 Yamadaoka, Suita, Osaka 565-0871, Japan
- ³ Advanced Photonics and Biosensing Open Innovation Laboratory, AIST-Osaka University, Osaka University, 2-1 Yamadaoka, Suita, Osaka 565-0871, Japan
- ⁴ Institute for Open and Transdisciplinary Research Initiatives, Osaka University, 2-1 Yamadaoka, Suita, Osaka 565-0871, Japan
- * E-mail: fujita@ap.eng.osaka-u.ac.jp

Introduction

18

Metallic nanoparticles have been attractive for imaging and spectroscopic analysis of biological samples because of their unique optical properties induced by localized plasmon resonance.¹ Metallic nanoparticles with a variety of different physical parameters such as size, shape, metal composition, and assembly (Figure 1)² can be synthesized for use in a wide range of biological applications. In particular, gold nanoparticles (AuNPs) are widely used as optical contrast agents for bioimaging since they posess several advantages such as strong light scattering properties at visible wavelengths without photobleaching, high chemical stability, and low toxicity for use in biological samples. Researchers have demonstrated high spatiotemporal resolution optical imaging of AuNP-labeled biomolecules and intracellular organelles.³⁻⁵ In addition to their use as a contrast agent, metallic nanoparticles also work as probes for surface-enhanced Raman scattering (SERS) spectroscopy to analyze biological molecules and cells.⁶ With SERS spectroscopy, information of the molecular species near the metal surface can be obtained with high sensitivity and high spatial confinement. This enables analysis of biological functions and phenomena, such as organelle transportation,⁷ drug uptake,⁸ and cell division.⁹ Herein, we review recent progress on metallic nanoparticle applications for bio-imaging and molecular spectroscopy of biological systems.

Tracking Biomolecules at Angstrom Precision and Microsecond Time Resolution

When labeled with AuNPs, the motions of biomolecules and intracellular organelles can be investigated by tracking the bright spot of the AuNP scattering image (Figure 2A). The localization precision of the optical image is inversely proportional to the



Figure 1. Electron microscope images of synthesized metallic nanoparticles with different shapes, sizes, metal compositions, and their assemblies. Scale bars: 50 nm. Reproduced with permission from reference 2, copyright 2019 American Chemical Society.

square root of the photon number.¹⁰ AuNPs provide a high scattering signal without photobleaching, allowing for nanometer-scale localization even at microsecond time resolution,^{3,11} and AuNPs enable the analysis of the fast dynamics of biomolecules such as lipids and proteins.^{4,12} To understand the working



Figure 2. High-speed and high-precision tracking of kinesin labeled with a AuNP. A) Schematic showing dark-field imaging of a 40 nm AuNP, and 2D plots of a center positions of the AuNP images at 100 μs time resolution with an annular illumination total internal reflection dark-field microscope.
B) A relationship between localization precisions and time resolutions with 40 nm and 30 nm AuNPs. C) Transition pathways from the microtubule-bound to microtubule-unbound state of a motor domain of kinesin-1 observed at 10 μs time resolution. B and C reproduced with permission from reference 13, copyright 2018 Elsevier.

mechanism of these tiny and complex biological molecules in detail, further improvements in localization precision are essential. Recently, researchers reported the development of an annular illumination total internal reflection dark-field microscope to illuminate AuNPs at high laser intensity.¹³ The authors achieved a localization precision of 1.3 Å and 5.4 Å with 40 nm AuNPs at 1 ms and 33 μ s time resolution (Figure 2B). This system revealed the fast stepping motions of kinesin-1 in detail, including a transition pathway of the motor domain from bound to unbound state on microtubule measured at a 10 μ s time resolution (Figure 2C). Stepping motions of a processive chimeric dynein were also observed with a 30 nm AuNP at 100 μ s time resolution, revealing 8 nm forward and backward steps and 5 nm side steps, consistent with the pitch of binding domains on the microtubule.14 The use of small AuNPs as optical probes is crucial to minimize possible steric hindrances on the target biomolecules. Recently, the development of highly sensitive interferometric scattering microscopy achieved localized precision at a few nanometers with 20 nm AuNPs at microsecond time resolution.⁴ Detection using smaller diameter AuNPs, such as 10 nm,15 or even label-free direct detection of proteins,^{16,17} has also been performed, expanding the range of measurable biomolecule types and phenomena.

Multicolor Imaging of Biomolecules with Ag, AgAu Alloy, and AuNPs

AuNP-based biomolecular tracking to date has been limited to monochromatic imaging. However, new methods have emerged that enable analysis of multiple biomolecules at high spatiotemporal resolution using additional color channels. A multicolor high-speed single particle tracking system was recently developed using silver and silver-gold alloy nanoparticles (AgNPs and AgAuNPs) together with AuNPs (Figure 3A).¹⁸ The peak wavelength of AgNPs in the extinction spectra is more than 100 nm shorter than that of AuNPs, and the AgAuNPs spectra are located between the AgNP and AuNP spectra and can be tuned depending on their composition ratio (Figure 3B).^{19,20} To simultaneously observe each metal NP, a total internal reflection multicolor dark-field microscope was constructed with multiple lasers at 404 nm, 473 nm, and 561 nm that match the plasmon resonance wavelength of the AgNPs, AgAuNPs, and AuNPs, respectively. A spectrophotometer was used in the imaging optics to project scattering images at each wavelength on the different portions of a two-dimensional high-speed CMOS camera. With this system, multicolor imaging of phospholipid diffusional motions in a supported membrane (Figure 3C-E) and stepping motions of kinesin-1 along microtubules was achieved at 100 μ s time resolution and nanometer-scale localization precision. This system also allows for the capture of the transient dimer formation of the two metal NPs, and a distancedependent intensity increase in the 649 nm channel (Figure 3F). When two metal NPs are in sufficiently close proximity, plasmon coupling and a resulting redshift of the plasmon resonance wavelength are induced. The distance-dependent spectral shift has been used to create a highly accurate nano-ruler, known as a plasmon ruler, using two AuNPs or AgNPs and millisecond time resolution.¹⁹ Multicolor imaging system extends the scope of the plasmon ruler with microsecond time resolution and multicolor imaging capabilities.



Figure 3. Multicolor high-speed tracking of phospholipids in a supported membrane. **A**) Electron microscope images of 30 nm AgNPs, 30 nm AgAuNPs, and 40 nm AuNPs. **B**) Extinction spectra of the AgNPs, AgAuNPs, and AuNPs without and with surface modification. **C**) Schematic illustration of phospholipids in a membrane, labeled with AuNPs, AgNPs, and AgAuNPs. **D**) Multicolor dark-field image of AgNPs, AgAuNPs, and AuNPs attached to phospholipids in a membrane with 404, 473, and 561 nm lasers. **E**) Trajectories of the center positions of the metal NPs, indicated by the white dotted rectangles in D, at 100 µs time resolution. **F**) Sequential dark-field images of AgAuNPs and AuNPs labeled phospholipids in the membrane during transient dimer formation, using a laser at 649 nm, for capturing plasmon coupling of two NPs. Reproduced with permission from reference 18, copyright 2019 American Chemical Society.)

Cell Imaging Using SERS: Drug Uptake and pH Sensing

Metallic nanoparticles are also widely used as SERS probes to amplify the Raman scattering signal from molecules near the metal surface, enabling highly sensitive Raman measurements of biomolecules, such as DNA, RNA, amino acids, proteins, and lipids.^{6,21,22} Metallic nanoparticles introduced into cells work as SERS probes that report the molecular and chemical environment in cells near the metal surface.²³ Endocytosis is often used as a method of delivering metallic nanoparticles into cells. Culturing cells in a medium containing metallic nanoparticles allows the uptake by the cells; the nanoparticles eventually accumulate in endosomes and lysosomes through the intracellular transportation function.²³ The uptake of AuNPs into macrophage cells has been observed by slit-scanning Raman microscopy.^{24,25} Time-resolved two-dimensional SERS images, captured at 2.5 minutes per frame, revealed the attachment of AuNPs on the cellular outer membranes and the entry into intracellular space. The analysis of SERS spectra obtained during time-lapse observations showed temporal fluctuation of the SERS signal and dependence of SERS spectra on the position of the AuNPs, indicating that the AuNPs report the variation of chemical environments during transportation.

The detection of extrinsic molecules such as drugs, introduced into cells is an important application of SERS. Indeed, SERS detection of anti-tumor and anti-leukemia drugs in cells has revealed much about drug distribution and metabolism in cells.^{8,26,27} One of the difficulties of SERS-based drug detection has been its molecular specificity. SERS spectra from cells contain strong background signals from intrinsic molecules, and they often hinder the specific detection of target molecules. We have recently developed a SERS technique for drug imaging with high molecular specificity using an alkyne tag composed of a carbon-carbon triple bond.²⁸ Since the alkyne shows characteristic peaks in the Raman silent region of biomolecules from 1800 to 2600 cm⁻¹, alkyne-tagged molecules can be selectively detected without overlapping with intrinsic biomolecules.²⁹ Furthermore, the small chemical structure of the alkyne tag allows the drug molecule to essentially maintain its original properties, such as affinity to the drug target. Also, the high affinity of alkyne to metallic nanoparticles improves SERS detection sensitivity of both electromagnetic and chemical enhancement effects. $^{\scriptscriptstyle 30\mathchar`-32}$ As a proof of concept, we used an alkyne-tagged inhibitor for cathepsin B, a drug target of tumor metastasis and localized in lysosomes. AuNPs were introduced into lysosomes in macrophage cells via endocytosis, and the alkyne-tagged inhibitor was subsequently administrated to the cell culture media at a concentration of 20 $\mu\text{M}.$ When we performed time-lapse 3D SERS imaging of drug-treated cells with slit-scanning Raman microscopy, a gradual increase of the intensity of alkyne-SERS peak at 1980 cm⁻¹ was observed at around 10 minutes after drug administration (Figure 4). The uptake speed of the inhibitor was quantitatively evaluated by counting the number of alkyne-SERS signals. Alkyne-tag SERS microscopy opens new avenues for quantitative analysis of drug dynamics in living cells.

SERS with metallic nanoparticles also works as a sensing method for intracellular environments, such as pH³³⁻³⁵ and redox potential.³⁶ For this purpose, the nanoparticle surface is functionalized with molecules that show a structural change depending on the surrounding environment. In particular, SERS-based pH sensing of cells has been widely investigated,³³⁻³⁵ since pH value is related to various biological processes such as proliferation, apoptosis, and ion transport.³⁷ Improvement of SERS sensitivity results in fast pH measurement, providing



Figure 4. Uptake of small molecule drug into cells monitored by timeresolved alkyne-tag SERS microscopy. A) Schematic illustration of SERS detection of the alkyne-tagged small molecule drugs in living cells. B) A bright-field image of living macrophage cells cultured with 50 nm AuNPs. Scale bar = 10 μ m. C) Three-dimensional SERS images of the same cells at different incubation times with alkyne-tagged cathepsin inhibitor. The inhibitor was administrated into the cell culture media at a concentration of 20 μ M. The red color represents average Raman intensity from 800 to 1800 cm⁻¹. The green color represents the average Raman intensity from 1960 to 2010 cm⁻¹, which shows the existence of the alkyne-tagged inhibitor. Timelines indicate the incubation time after drug administration. The imaging volume was 50 × 82 × 15 μ m. Reproduced with permission from reference 28, copyright 2020 American Chemical Society.

a better understanding of the biological processes governed by dynamic pH changes. To identify the most sensitive metal nanoparticles for pH sensing, we synthesized 18 types of AuNPs and AgNPs (**Figure 1**), with different shapes, sizes, metal compositions, and assemblies. By evaluating the SERS activity, it was confirmed that Ag chain, Ag core/satellites, Ag@Au core/ satellites, and Au core/satellites produced the strongest SERS signal, and that this signal is sufficient to perform pH sensing at the single-particle level. With Ag@Au core/satellites as SERS probe, changes in intracellular pH over time during the apoptotic process of HeLa cells was successfully revealed at a temporal resolution of 5 minutes (**Figure 5**).

Dynamic SERS tracking in a Living Cell

In recent years, particle tracking and SERS spectroscopy have been combined to perform dynamic SERS imaging inside biological cells.^{7,25} Since endocytosed AuNPs travel around the intracellular space due to a cellular transportation function, intracellular molecules near the nanoparticle surface can be



Figure 5. Time-lapse pH measurement of apoptotic cells with SERS. A) Phase contrast image of HeLa cells during apoptosis, induced by drug treatment. B) Two-dimensional distribution of the SERS intensity at 1590 cm⁻¹, assigned to benzene ring breathing mode of p-MBA attached on the metal nanoparticles. C) Local pH map of the intracellular space of a HeLa cell. The images show every 15 minutes/frame and the scale bar shows 10 μ m. D) Plots of pH values over incubation time. Reproduced with permission from reference 2, copyright 2019 American Chemical Society.

detected with a high spatiotemporal resolution by tracking the motion of AuNPs and performing SERS spectroscopy at the same time. This allows us to investigate the mechanisms of various biomolecules involved in cellular transportation. For this purpose, a laser-scanning Raman microscope and a darkfield microscope were combined to acquire SERS signal from a specific nanoparticle in a cell continuously.^{7,25} Information on particle positions is obtained by dark-field microscopy and used to continuously relocate the focus position of Raman excitation laser on the same nanoparticle. The temporal resolution of this SERS tracking system was 50 ms per spectrum. SERS measurements were performed on macrophage cells with 50 nm AuNPs. During SERS measurement, motion-dependent changes in the SERS spectra were observed, indicating that the AuNPs report local molecular environment during transportation. Furthermore, using a dual-focus dark-field imaging system, the 3D SERS tracking technique has been developed, with which AuNPs that move three-dimensionally can be followed.³⁸ Recently, the 3D SERS tracking system was applied to local pH measurement inside cells (Figure 6A).³⁹ The nanoparticles, functionalized with pH-sensitive molecules, are thought to be transported to endosomes and lysosomes by intracellular trafficking after being taken up into cells by endocytosis. In general, during these trafficking pathways, the



Figure 6. Dynamic pH measurement of intracellular pathways by SERS tracking. A) Schematic image of the intracellular pH measurement with surface-functionalized Ag nano-assemblies and 3D SERS tracking technique. B) Three-dimensional nanoparticle trajectory during intracellular transportation in a living HeLa cell at time resolution of 200 ms. The color scale represents the time course of the trajectory. C) pH changes during the intracellular transportation in a HeLa cell displayed on the 3D nanoparticle trajectory. pH value was obtained by the SERS intensity ratio of COO⁻ to C=O. Reproduced with permission from reference 39, copyright 2020 The Royal Society of Chemistry.

pH is gradually reduced from pH 6.3 in early endosomes to pH 5.5 in lysosomes.⁴⁰ Multi-modal imaging with SERS tracking and fluorescence imaging using lysosome-specific dye revealed that the pH value quantified by SERS analysis was low when the nanoparticles exist in lysosomes (**Figure 6B and C**).

Conclusion

22

In this manuscript, we discussed the recent progress on the applications of metallic nanoparticles for imaging and spectroscopy of biological systems. For bio-imaging, multicolor, high-speed and high-precision tracking of target molecules was demonstrated, and dynamic motions of biomolecules were investigated. For spectroscopic analysis with metal nanoparticles, SERS microscopy applications for drug uptake and pH sensing were summarized. Finally, we introduced an approach to combine particle tracking and SERS spectroscopy to analyze the intracellular molecular environment during transportation at high spatiotemporal resolution. These new metallic nanoparticlebased approaches for bio-imaging and molecular spectroscopy will further contribute to a wide range of research fields such as biology, drug development, and medical science in the future.

Acknowledgments

This work was partially supported by the grant of AMED-CREST under Grant JP18gm071000 and the Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research (grant numbers JP18H01904 to JA) from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science, and Technology of Japan.

References

- Anker, J. N.; Hall, W. P.; Lyandres, O.; Shah, N. C.; Zhao, J.; Van Duyne, R. P. *Nat Mater.* 2008, 7 (6), 442–453.
- Zhang, Z.; Bando, K.; Mochizuki, K.; Taguchi, A.; Fujita, K.; Kawata, S. Anal. Chem. 2019, 91 (5), 3254-3262.
- (3) Nan, X.; Sims, P. A.; Xie, X. S. Chem. Phys. Chem. 2008, 9 (5), 707–712.
- (4) Lin, Y.-H.; Chang, W.-L.; Hsieh, C.-L. Opt. Express 2014, 22 (8), 9159–9170.
- (5) Ino, R.; Iida, T.; Nakamura, A.; Saita, E.-i.; You, H.; Sako, Y. BBA-Gen. Subjects 2018, 1862 (2), 241–252.
- Ando, J.; Yano, T.-a.; Fujita, K.; Kawata, S. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2013, 15 (33), 13713–13722.
- (7) Ando, J.; Fujita, K.; Smith, N. I.; Kawata, S. Nano Lett. 2011, 11 (12), 5344–5348.
- (8) Yang, J.; Cui, Y.; Zong, S.; Zhang, R.; Song, C.; Wang, Z. Mol. Pharm. 2012, 9 (4), 842–849.
- (9) Kang, B.; Austin, L. A.; El-Sayed, M. A. Nano Lett. 2012, 12 (10), 5369–5375.
- (10) Thompson, R. E.; Larson, D. R.; Webb, W. W. *Biophys. J.* **2002**, *82* (5), 2775–2783.
- (11) Ueno, H.; Nishikawa, S.; Iino, R.; Tabata, K. V.; Sakakihara, S.; Yanagida, T.; Noji, H. *Biophys. J.* **2010**, *98* (9), 2014–2023.
- (12) Nakamura, A.; Okazaki, K.-i.; Furuta, T.; Sakurai, M.; Iino, R. Nat. Commun. 2018, 9 (1), 3814–12.
- (13) Ando, J.; Nakamura, A.; Visootsat, A.; Yamamoto, M.; Song, C.; Murata, K.; Iino, R. *Biophys. J.* **2018**, *115* (12), 2413-2427.
- (14) Ando, J.; Shima, T.; Kanazawa, R.; Shimo-Kon, R.; Nakamura, A.; Yamamoto, M.; Kon, T.; Iino, R. Sci. Rep. **2020**, *10* (1), 1080.
- (15) Liao, Y.-H.; Lin, C.-H.; Cheng, C.-Y.; Wong, W. C.; Juo, J.-Y.; Hsieh, C.-L. ACS Nano 2019, 13 (10), 10918–10928.
- (16) Ortega Arroyo, J.; Andrecka, J.; Spillane, K. M.; Billington, N.; Takagi, Y.; Sellers, J. R.; Kukura, P. *Nano Lett.* **2014**, *14* (4), 2065–2070.
- (17) Piliarik, M.; Sandoghdar, V. Nat. Commun. 2014, 5, 4495.
- (18) Ando, J.; Nakamura, A.; Yamamoto, M.; Song, C.; Murata, K.; Iino, R. ACS Photonics 2019, 6 (11), 2870–2883.
- (19) Sönnichsen, C.; Reinhard, B. M.; Liphardt, J.; Alivisatos, A. P. Nat. Biotechnol. 2005, 23 (6), 741–745.
- (20) Link, S.; Wang, Z. L.; El-Sayed, M. A. J. Phys. Chem. B 1999, 103 (18), 3529–3533.
- (21) Liu, M.; Li, Q.; Liang, L.; Li, J.; Wang, K.; Li, J.; Lv, M.; Chen, N.; Song, H.; Lee, J.; Shi, J.; Wang, L.; Lal, R.; Fan, C. Nat. Commun. 2017, 8 (1), 3375.
- (22) Shiota, M.; Naya, M.; Yamamoto, T.; Hishiki, T.; Tani, T.; Takahashi, H.; Kubo, A.; Koike, D.; Itoh, M.; Ohmura, M.; Kabe, Y.; Sugiura, Y.; Hiraoka, N.; Morikawa, T.; Takubo, K.; Suina, K.; Nagashima, H.; Sampetrean, O.; Nagano, O.; Saya, H.; Yamazoe, S.; Watanabe, H.; Suematsu, M. Nat. Commun. 2018, 9 (1), 1561.
- (23) Kneipp, J.; Kneipp, H.; McLaughlin, M.; Brown, D.; Kneipp, K. Nano Lett. 2006, 6 (10), 2225–2231.
- (24) Fujita, K.; Ishitobi, S.; Hamada, K.; Smith, N. I.; Taguchi, A.; Inouye, Y.; Kawata, S. J. Biomed. Opt. 2009, 14 (2), 024038.
- (25) Palonpon, A. F.; Ando, J.; Yamakoshi, H.; Dodo, K.; Sodeoka, M.; Kawata, S.; Fujita, K. *Nat. Protoc.* **2013**, *8* (4), 677–692.
- (26) Chourpa, I.; Morjani, H.; Riou, J. F.; Manfait, M. FEBS Letters 1996, 397 (1), 61–64.
- (27) Nabiev, I. R.; Morjani, H.; Manfait, M. Eur. Biophys. J. 1991, 19 (6), 311–316.
- (28) Koike, K.; Bando, K.; Ando, J.; Yamakoshi, H.; Terayama, N.; Dodo, K.; Smith, N. I.; Sodeoka, M.; Fujita, K. ACS Nano 2020, 14 (11), 15032–15041.
- (29) Yamakoshi, H.; Dodo, K.; Palonpon, A.; Ando, J.; Fujita, K.; Kawata, S.; Sodeoka, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134 (51), 20681–20689.
- (30) Ando, J.; Asanuma, M.; Dodo, K.; Yamakoshi, H.; Kawata, S.; Fujita, K.; Sodeoka, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138 (42), 13901–13910.
- (31) Ardini, M.; Huang, J.-A.; Sánchez, C. S.; Mousavi, M. Z.; Caprettini, V.; Maccaferri, N.; Melle, G.; Bruno, G.; Pasquale, L.; Garoli, D.; De Angelis, F. *Sci. Rep.* **2018**, *8* (1), 15910.
- (32) Li, M.; Wu, J.; Ma, M.; Feng, Z.; Mi, Z.; Rong, P.; Liu, D. Nanotheranostics 2019, 3 (1), 113–119.
- (33) Talley, C. E.; Jusinski, L.; Hollars, C. W.; Lane, S. M.; Huser, T. Anal. Chem. 2004, 76 (23), 7064–7068.
- (34) Jaworska, A.; Jamieson, L. E.; Malek, K.; Campbell, C. J.; Choo, J.; Chlopicki, S.; Baranska, M. Analyst 2015, 140 (7), 2321–2329.
- (35) Kneipp, J.; Kneipp, H.; Wittig, B.; Kneipp, K. Nano Lett. 2007, 7 (9), 2819–2823.
- (36) Auchinvole, C. A. R.; Richardson, P.; McGuinnes, C.; Mallikarjun, V.; Donaldson, K.; McNab, H.; Campbell, C. J. ACS Nano 2012, 6 (1), 888–896.
- (37) Furuya, Y.; Lundmo, P.; Short, A. D.; Gill, D. L.; Isaacs, J. T. Cancer Res. 1994, 54 (23), 6167-6175.
- (38) Huang, K.-C.; Bando, K.; Ando, J.; Smith, N. I.; Fujita, K.; Kawata, S. Methods 2014, 68 (2), 348-353.
- (39) Bando, K.; Zhang, Z.; Graham, D.; Faulds, K.; Fujita, K.; Kawata, S. Analyst 2020, 145 (17), 5768–5775.
- (40) Casey, J. R.; Grinstein, S.; Orlowski, J. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 2010, 11 (1), 50–61.

Gold Nanoshells

Name	Absorption	Concentration (mg/mL)	Cat. No.
Bioready Carboxyl for Covalent Conjugation	-	in Water	NCXGSXR150-5ML NCXGSXR150-30ML NCXGSXR150-100M
Carboxyl (Lipoic Acid) NanoXact	660 nm	0.05 in water	NCXGSLN660-25ML NCXGSLN660-100ML NCXGSLN660-500ML
	800 nm	0.05 in water	NCXGSLN800-25ML NCXGSLN800-100ML NCXGSLN800-500ML
	980 nm	0.05 in water	NCXGSLN980-25ML NCXGSLN980-100ML NCXGSLN980-500ML
	660 nm	1 in water	NCXGSLH660-500UL NCXGSLH660-1ML NCXGSLH660-5ML
	800 nm	1 in water	NCXGSLH800-500UL NCXGSLH800-1ML NCXGSLH800-5ML
	980 nm	1 in water	NCXGSLH980-500UL NCXGSLH980-1ML NCXGSLH980-5ML
NanoXact mPEG 5 kDa (Polymer)	660 nm	0.05 in water	NCXGSGN660-25ML NCXGSGN660-100ML NCXGSGN660-500ML
	800 nm	0.05 in water	NCXGSGN800-25ML NCXGSGN800-100ML NCXGSGN800-500ML
	980 nm	0.05 in water	NCXGSGN980-25ML NCXGSGN980-100ML NCXGSGN980-500ML
	660 nm	1 in water	NCXGSGH660-500UL NCXGSGH660-1ML NCXGSGH660-5ML
	800 nm	1 in water	NCXGSGH800-500UL NCXGSGH800-1ML NCXGSGH800-5ML
	980 nm	1 in water	NCXGSGH980-500UL NCXGSGH980-1ML NCXGSGH980-5ML
NanoXact PVP 40 kDa (Polymer)	660 nm	0.05 in water	NCXGSPN660-25ML NCXGSPN660-100ML NCXGSPN660-500ML
	800 nm	0.05 in water	NCXGSPN800-25ML NCXGSPN800-100ML NCXGSPN800-500ML
	980 nm	0.05 in water	NCXGSPN980-25ML NCXGSPN980-100ML NCXGSPN980-500ML
	660 nm	1 in water	NCXGSPH660-500UL NCXGSPH660-1ML NCXGSPH660-5ML
	800 nm	1 in water	NCXGSPH800-500UL NCXGSPH800-1ML NCXGSPH800-5ML
	980 nm	1 in water	NCXGSPH980-500UL NCXGSPH980-1ML NCXGSPH980-5ML
Bioready Streptavidin	-	in aqueous conjugate buffer	NCXGSIR150-200UL NCXGSIR150-1ML NCXGSIR150-5ML NCXGSIR150-10ML

Gold Nanoparticles & Nanostructures

Non-surface Functionalized Nanoparticles & Nanostructures

Gold Nanoparticles

24

Description	Particle Size (nm)	Absorption	Concentration	Cat. No.
eactant free tabilized suspension in 0.1 mM	5	510-525 nm	~ 5.5E+13 particles/mL	752568-25ML 752568-100ML
PBS	10	510-525 nm	~ 6.0E+12 particles/mL	752584-25ML 752584-100ML
	20	518-522 nm	~ 6.54E+11 particles/mL	753610-25ML 753610-100ML
	30	524-527 nm	\sim 1.8E+11 particles/mL	753629-25ML 753629-100ML
	50	535-536 nm	~ 3.5E+10 particles/mL	753645-25ML 753645-100ML
	80	550-557 nm	~ 7.8E+9 particles/mL	753661-25ML 753661-100ML
	100	564-574 nm	~ 3.8E+9 particles/mL	753688-25ML 753688-100ML
	40	529-533 nm	~ 7.2E+10 particles/mL	753637-25ML 753637-100ML
	60	538-544 nm	\sim 1.9E+10 particles/mL	753653-25ML 753653-100ML
	15	510-520 nm	~ 1.64E+12 particles/mL	777099-25ML 777099-100ML
	400	-	~ 1.9E+8 particles/mL	746681-25ML
abilized suspension in citrate uffer	5	510-525 nm	~ 5.5E+13 particles/mL	741949-25ML 741949-100ML
	10	510-525 nm	~ 6.0E+12 particles/mL	741957-25ML 741957-100ML
	15	510-525 nm	~ 1.64E+12 particles/mL	777137-25ML 777137-100ML
	20	518-522 nm	~ 6.54E+11 particles/mL	741965-25ML 741965-100ML
	30	524-527 nm	\sim 1.8E+11 particles/mL	741973-25ML 741973-100ML
	80	551-557 nm	~ 7.8E+9 particles/mL	742023-25ML 742023-100ML
	40	529-533 nm	~ 7.2E+10 particles/mL	741981-25ML 741981-100ML
	100	564-574 nm	~ 3.8E+9 particles/mL	742031-25ML 742031-100ML
	50	533-536 nm	~ 3.5E+10 particles/mL	742007-25ML 742007-100ML
	150	-	~ 3.6E+9 particles/mL	742058-25ML 742058-100ML
	60	538-544 nm	\sim 1.9E+10 particles/mL	742015-25ML 742015-100ML
	200	-	~ 1.9E+9 particles/mL	742066-25ML 742066-100ML
	250	-	~ 7.1E+8 particles/mL	742074-25ML 742074-100ML
	300	-	~ 4.5E+8 particles/mL	742082-25ML
	400	-	~ 1.9E+8 particles/mL	742090-25ML
ispersion in H ₂ O	10	514-524 nm	~ 7.1E+12 particles/mL	747564-5ML
lica coated	20	518-528 nm	~ 8.5E+11 particles/mL	747572-5ML
	5	-	~ 6.6E+13 particles/mL	747556-5ML

Gold Nanorods

Particle Size (nm)	Absorption	Concentration (µg/mL)	Cat. No.
10	808 nm	> 30 in H ₂ O	716820-25ML
	850 nm	> 30 in H ₂ O	716839-25ML
25	650 nm	> 45 in H ₂ O	771686-25ML
	600 nm	> 45 in H ₂ O	771651-25ML
	550 nm	> 45 in H ₂ O	771643-25ML
10	980 nm	> 30 in H ₂ O	776661-25ML
	900 nm	\geq 30 in H ₂ O	776653-25ML
	980 nm	> 30 in H ₂ O	776688-25ML
	808 nm	> 30 in H ₂ O	747998-5ML
	850 nm	> 30 in H ₂ O	748005-5ML
	780 nm	> 30 in H ₂ O	747971-5ML

Particle Size (nm)	Absorption	Concentration (µg/mL)	Cat. No.
25	650 nm	50 in H ₂ O	900367-25ML
	550 nm	50 in H ₂ O	900366-25ML
10	1064 nm	35 in H ₂ O	900365-25ML
	980 nm	35 in H ₂ O	900364-25ML
	808 nm	35 in H ₂ O	900363-25ML
	780 nm	35 in H ₂ O	900362-25ML
	780 nm	> 30 in H ₂ O	716812-25ML

Gold Nanospheres

•		
Description	Particle Size (nm)	Cat. No.
Bare Bioready for Passive Adsorption in Aqueous Sodium Citrate	40	NCXAUCR40-5ML NCXAUCR40-30ML NCXAUCR40-100ML
Bare Bioready Carbonate for Passive Adsorption in Water	40	NCXAUKR40
Bare Bioready Carbonate for Passive Adsorption in Aqueous Potassium Carbonate	80	NCXAUKR80-25ML NCXAUKR80-100ML NCXAUKR80-500ML NCXAUKR80-1000ML

Gold Nano-urchins

Particle Size (nm)	Absorption	Cat. No.
60	585 nm	795399-25ML
50	585 nm	795380-25ML
100	680 nm	797758-25ML
70	600 nm	797731-25ML
80	620 nm	797723-25ML
90	630 nm	797707-25ML

Surface Functionalized Nanoparticles & Nanostructures

Gold Nanoparticles

Description	Dimension (nm)	Absorption	Cat. No.
PEG 3000 coated	. ,	·	765260-1ML
amine functionalized	5	λ_{max} 515 nm	
	10	λ _{max} 520 nm	765295-1ML
	15	λ _{max} 520 nm	765317-1ML
	20	λ _{max} 520 nm	765333-1ML
	30	λ _{max} 525 nm	765368-1ML
	40	λ _{max} 530 nm	765384-1ML
	50	λ _{max} 535 nm	765406-1ML
PEG 5000 coated	5	λ_{max} 515 nm	765279-1ML
amine functionalized	10	λ _{max} 520 nm	765309-1ML
	15	λ _{max} 520 nm	765325-1ML
	20	λ _{max} 520 nm	765341-1ML
	30	λ _{max} 525 nm	765376-1ML
PEG 5000 coated amine functionalized	40	-	765392-1ML
PEG 5000 coated amine functionalized	50	$\lambda_{_{max}}$ 535 nm	765414-1ML
PEG 5000 coated biotin terminated	5	$\lambda_{_{max}}$ 513-517 nm	808628-0.5ML
PEG 5000	10	λ _{max} 515-520 nm	808709-0.5ML
biotin terminated	15	peak SPR wavelength 520 nm	808830-0.5ML
PEG 5000 coated biotin terminated	20	peak SPR wavelength 524 nm	808849-0.5ML
PEG 5000 biotin terminated	30	peak SPR wavelength 526 nm	808857-0.5ML
PEG 5000 coated piotin terminated	40	peak SPR wavelength 530 nm	808865-0.5ML
PEG 5000 biotin terminated	60	λ_{max} 540 nm	808911-0.5ML

Description	Dimension (nm)	Absorption	Cat. No.
Description	Dimension (nm)	Absorption	Cat. No.
PEG 5000 coated biotin terminated	80	peak SPR wavelength 553 nm	808946-0.5ML
	100	λ _{max} 572 nm	808962-0.5ML
PEG 3000 coated carboxylic acid functionalized	5	λ_{max} 515 nm	765430-1ML
PEG 3000 carboxylic acid functionalized	10	λ_{max} 520 nm	765457-1ML
PEG 3000 coated	15	λ _{max} 520 nm	765473-1ML
carboxylic acid functionalized	20	λ _{max} 520 nm	765503-1ML
	30	λ _{max} 525 nm	765538-1ML
	40	λ _{max} 530 nm	765554-1ML
	50	λ _{max} 535 nm	765570-1ML
PEG 5000 coated	10	λ _{max} 530 nm	765465-1ML
carboxylic acid functionalized	15	λ _{max} 520 nm	765481-1ML
	20	λ_{max} 520 nm	765511-1ML
PEG 5000 carboxylic acid functionalized	30	λ_{max} 525 nm	765546-1ML
PEG 5000 coated	40	λ _{max} 530 nm	765562-1ML
carboxylic acid functionalized	50	λ_{max} 535 nm	765589-1ML
methyl terminated, PEG 2000 coated	5	-	765597-1ML
PEG 2000 coated	15	λ _{max} 520 nm	765694-1ML
methyl terminated	20	λ _{may} 520 nm	765716-1ML
methyl terminated, PEG 2000 coated	30	-	765635-1ML
	50	-	765678-1ML
PEG 5000 coated methyl terminated	5	λ_{max} 515 nm	765600-1ML
nethyl terminated, PEG 5000 coated	10	-	765627-1ML
PEG 5000 coated	15	λ_{max} 520 nm	765708-1ML
methyl terminated	30	λ_{max} 525 nm	765732-1ML
	50	λ_{max} 535 nm	765686-1ML

Gold Nanospheres

26

Description	Dimension (nm)	Cat. No.
Bioready Carboxyl for Covalent Conjugation	40	NCXAUXR40-5ML NCXAUXR40-30ML NCXAUXR40-100ML
in Water	80	NCXAUXR80-5ML NCXAUXR80-30ML NCXAUXR80-100ML
Dried Econix PVP 40 kDa (Polymer)	15	NCXGEPD15-50MG NCXGEPD15-125MG NCXGEPD15-500MG
	50	NCXGEPD50-50MG NCXGEPD50-125MG NCXGEPD50-500MG
	75	NCXGEPD75-50MG NCXGEPD75-125MG NCXGEPD75-500MG
Econix PVP 40 kDa (Polymer)	15	NCXGEPE15-10ML NCXGEPE15-25ML NCXGEPE15-100ML
	50	NCXGEPE50-10ML NCXGEPE50-25ML NCXGEPE50-100ML
	75	NCXGEPE75-10ML NCXGEPE75-25ML NCXGEPE75-100ML
NanoXact Silica (Silanol) Silica-Shelled	20	NCXAUSH20-1ML NCXAUSH20-5ML NCXAUSH20-10ML
	50	NCXAUSH50-1ML NCXAUSH50-5ML NCXAUSH50-10ML
	70	NCXAUSH70-1ML NCXAUSH70-5ML NCXAUSH70-10ML
	100	NCXAUSH100-1ML NCXAUSH100-5ML NCXAUSH100-10ML

Description	Dimension (nm)	Cat Na
Description	Dimension (nm)	Cat. No.
Aminated / Cationic Silica NanoXact Silica-Shelled	20	NCXAUAH20-1ML NCXAUAH20-5ML NCXAUAH20-10ML
	50	NCXAUAH50-1ML NCXAUAH50-5ML NCXAUAH50-10ML
	70	NCXAUAH70-1ML NCXAUAH70-5ML NCXAUAH70-10ML
	100	NCXAUAH100-1ML NCXAUAH100-5ML NCXAUAH100-10ML
Bioready Streptavidin in Aqueous Conjugate Buffer with Streptavidin	40	NCXAUIR40-200UL NCXAUIR40-1ML NCXAUIR40-5ML NCXAUIR40-10ML
PEG Carboxyl 0.8 kDa Ultra Uniform	10	NCXAUXU10-1ML NCXAUXU10-5ML NCXAUXU10-10ML NCXAUXU10-30ML
	30	NCXAUXU30-1ML NCXAUXU30-5ML NCXAUXU30-10ML NCXAUXU30-30ML
	50	NCXAUXU50-1ML NCXAUXU50-5ML NCXAUXU50-10ML NCXAUXU50-30ML
	100	NCXAUXU100-1ML NCXAUXU100-5ML NCXAUXU100-10ML NCXAUXU100-30ML

Gold Nanorods

Description	Dimension (nm)	Absorption	Cat. No.
amine terminated	10	808 nm	716871-1ML
carboxyl terminated	10	808 nm	716898-1ML

Gold Nanoparticles for Biomolecular Diagnostics



Alexandra R. Fernandes¹ and Pedro V. Baptista^{1*}

¹ UCIBIO, Dept. of Life Sciences, FCT-NOVA, Campus Caparica, 2829-516 Caparica, Portugal
 * Email: pmvb@fct.unl.pt

Introduction

Research in nanomedicine has advanced many biomedical tools and applications of nanomaterials, primarily focused on new diagnostic platforms and strategies for therapy. While drug delivery was the first area to be more thoroughly examined and developed over the years, a more profound understanding of nanomaterial properties has allowed for the design of innovative diagnostics strategies. The unique properties of nanomaterials, coupled with the growing capability for tight control over manipulation and assembly, have furthered the concept of combining diagnosis and delivery into one device as an essential asset to allow precise treatment. Thus, nanomedicine may be perceived as a refinement of molecular medicine, integrating innovation in genomics and proteomics for more personalized medicine, allowing the precise characterization of molecular profiles of patients, from early diagnosis to precision therapeutics, improving outcomes while minimizing risks to the patients.¹⁻²

These nanometric structures have found a wide range of applications within the biomedical field, with a particular focus on diagnostics, such as detection and identification of metabolites, proteins, and nucleic acids (DNA and RNA). Perhaps the most significant impact has been in molecular diagnostics, where the use of nanomaterials has prompted a change of paradigm in biodetection and analytical methodologies. Within this framework, diagnostic techniques based on nanoparticles offer an unprecedented gain in terms of sensitivity. Since most biomarkers are within a similar size range of these nanoscale structures, providing a 1:1 scale ratio response; this gain in sensitivity also allows to use of less sample, thus decreasing the need for robust apparatus for analytical procedures, which result in increased portability, bringing the conceptual lab to the pointof-need (patient bedside, clinician's lab, etc.).³

Synthesis and Functionalization of Gold Nanoparticles

Most platforms designed for protein detection customarily rely on molecular recognition using antigens and antibodies, whereas those designed towards nucleic acid-sensing rely on the complementarity of nucleotide sequences via hybridization protocols. Currently, biodetection of relevant nucleotide sequence biomarkers has progressively integrated nanoparticlebased systems, increasing sensitivity and lowering costs. Among these, noble metal nanoparticles (NPs), particularly gold, due to their optical and physic-chemical properties, have been used for the development of highly sensitive biosensing platforms.³

A key feature of AuNPs is their localized surface plasmon resonance (LSPR) that is responsible for their remarkable optical properties. The LSPR may be defined as the collective oscillation of electrons between empty orbitals in response to an incident electromagnetic wave, which generates a polarization in the nanoparticles, inducing the formation of dipolar moments. The LSPR is highly dependent on the size, shape, composition, distance between nanoparticles, and their interaction with the dielectric surrounding. This phenomenon is responsible for attributing different colors to colloidal suspensions of AuNPs, which can vary from different shades of red to blue and purple. Therefore, tight control of the AuNP size is paramount to tune the optical properties towards the desired biodetection wavelength. Also, to take full advantage of these optical properties, different routes for synthesis, means for dispersion in solution, and strategies for surface functionalization may be employed.3,4

The most common and straightforward method for synthesis of AuNPs is through the chemical reduction of gold salt, usually tetrachloroauric acid trihydrate (HAuCl₄·3H₂O), in the presence of reducing agents, such as sodium borohydride, ascorbic acid, or sodium citrate, which bind to the surface of the particles providing stability, reactivity and specific charge properties. Sodium citrate, for example, has been used since 1951 to produce monodisperse nanoparticles with sizes ranging from 1 to 150 nm.⁵ Although chemical reduction of metal ions is the most widespread method for synthesizing metal nanoparticles, some of these reducing agents are toxic, expensive, and their residues can be incorporated into the nanostructure, making characterization difficult and limiting in vivo applications. Furthermore, the control of process variables, such as pH and temperature, is critical for obtaining a homogeneous particle size dispersion.⁶ Due to its simplicity, while offering reasonable control over size dispersion and shape, the citrate reduction method proposed by Turkevich and later optimized by Frens makes it the most widespread method to produce AuNPs for biomedical applications.^{3,5,7,8} By simply varying the amount of reducing agent (e.g., sodium citrate) added to the mixture, it is possible to scale up production with reasonable size control (Figure 1). For example, when using a lower concentration of sodium citrate, the particle diameter produced is greater, and consequently, the number of agglomerates is greater. In fact, this process yields better results for smaller nanoparticles (10-30 nm in diameter), which are also more stable and, consequently, have less tendency to agglomerate and provide for better

and more reproducible results down the line in biodetection. The stability of the colloidal AuNPs solutions depends on their interaction with the surrounding medium, either via electrostatic stabilization (ionic interactions, in which nanoparticles repel each other due to the presence of charged molecules on the surface) or steric stabilization mediated by covalent interactions with suitable moieties that prevent the approach of other AuNPs. Covalent interactions provide some advantages compared to ionic interactions, where modified AuNPs (e.g., bioconjugates) show a considerable increase in stability in diverse media.^{6,8}

One way to minimize the tendency for the AuNPs to agglomerate is via the modification of the nanoparticle surface by the use of surfactants, polyelectrolytes, or ligands. Not only this improves colloidal stability, but it also provides for the possibility to derivatize AuNPs with a plethora of biomolecules suitable for biorecognition, such as antibodies and DNA/RNA oligomers. Still, the functionalization process quality is directly affected by factors such as substrate roughness, time of contact with the surface, concentration of the solvent, and temperature of the medium. In addition, the conjugated layers topography faithfully reproduces the surface of the AuNP and, consequently, its surface defects. One of the main types of defects found on gold surfaces is monoatomic vacancy, i.e., the surface presents irregularities, such as the reduction of gold atoms, directly affecting the formation, organization, and efficiency of functionalization.6,8,9



Figure 1. Characterization of AuNPs synthesized by the citrate reduction method. Several standard and straightforward techniques used for characterization of AuNPs, such as **A**) Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM), showing the spherical shape with good size dispersion; **B**) UV-visible spectroscopy, where the LSPR peak is used for the assessment of size and stability; **C**) Dynamic Light Scattering (DLS), which measures the hydrodynamic radii of AuNPs, thus highlighting the size dispersion; and **D**) zeta potential, which provides information of the superficial charge of the AuNPs, thus an assessment of stability. Altogether, these data are easy to acquire and provide enough information for manufacturing characterization.

Generally, for the surface functionalization of AuNP, the so-called alkanethiols are used for chemical stability and easy formation of self-organizing monolayers. Several stabilizing agents and polymeric compounds may also be used, such as sodium citrate, cetrimonium bromide (CTAB), polyethylene glycol (PEG), silica, among others.^{6,8} The choice of stabilizing agent is critical for diagnostics applications; this interface is often used as a linker for the biorecognition element (e.g., DNA, RNA, aptamer, peptides, antibodies) that should not disturb the intrinsic nanoscale property being used for signal transduction upon recognition of target analyte. This stabilization of AuNPs can also be steered through multifunctional polymers with the capability to bind the gold surface and provide for the simultaneous biding to the recognition element (e.g., methyl, amino, carboxyl, carbonyl, hydroxyl, and even sulfhydryl groups). Perhaps the most used linkers are those relying on (at least) one thiolreactive group, which binds strongly and spontaneously to gold due to the strong interaction between gold atoms and sulfur. In fact, this is the preferred strategy for directly binding DNA, RNA, and aptamer oligomers to the AuNPs' surface, as shown in a diversity of molecular diagnostics concepts.^{10,11}

Antibodies are glycoproteins belonging to the class of immunoglobulins commonly associated with the defense of organisms against foreign antigens. Antibodies can bind to various surfaces and have been used as biorecognition molecules for biodetection, particularly associated with AuNPs. Antibodies have a high degree of affinity and specificity, with the ability to detect, recognize and bind to the target antigen.¹² The principle of the association between an epitope of an antigen and its antibody involves the complementarity between them and a reversible bond via electrostatic interactions, hydrogen bonds, hydrophobic and van der Waals interactions. Since direct functionalization of antibodies to the AuNPs' surface is not as straightforward as for DNA/RNA, the use of heterofunctional polymers such as PEG (HS-PEG-NH₂) provides a route to the nanoparticle functionalization, where there is a covalent bond through the -SH group, while the NH₂ group is available to bind the antibody via free COO- groups. Functionalization is typically achieved via prior activation of one of the many COOH groups of the antibody through the use of coupling agents, such as 1-ethyl-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)-carbodiimide (EDC).¹⁰

AuNPs for Molecular Diagnostics

Several colorimetric approaches based on AuNPs have been proposed for the detection of biomolecules (i.e., nucleic acid and proteins) with high levels of sensitivity and specificity.^{3,13} Most of these methods rely on the colorimetric change of an AuNPs solution upon aggregation, a process that may be mediated either by changes to the media dielectric or interaction with the designated target. In the former, binding and adsorption of the target modulated the effect of changes to the medium dielectric on the AuNPs; the latter relies on the capability of targets to mediate interparticle interactions, either by promoting cross-linking of AuNPs or keeping them apart via steric hindrance. The resulting aggregation leads to a shift of the LSPR band, which may be perceived by the naked eye or measured through standard spectrophotometry. This is the case of several hybridization-based protocols, where ssDNA probes are functionalized onto the AuNPs and used to identify DNA/RNA targets in a sequence-dependent manner (Figure 2).¹⁰



Figure 2. Gold nanoparticles (AuNPs) for molecular diagnostics. AuNPs may be used in a plethora of diagnostics schemes based on antibody-antigen recognition or DNA hybridization, such as colorimetric assays based on inter-particle distance; fluorescence modulation as a function of the distance between the fluorophore and the AuNP surface; Surface Enhanced Raman Spectroscopy (SERS); and the application of AuNPs as tags in lateral flow platforms (LFAs) for point-of-need.

The AuNPs' plasmonic specific and sharp electrical shifts may also be used to detect a biomarker based on light scattering. These approaches rely on the intense scatter of larger and anisotropic AuNPs, whose spectral changes are associated with the binding or association to molecules.14

AuNPs are also useful for electrochemical detection, namely, to bind enzymes to electrodes and mediate electrochemical reactions as redox catalysts.¹⁵ In addition, AuNPs are well-known quenchers of fluorescence dyes that are positioned close to their surfaces, a property that has been widely used for molecular detection schemes. In these platforms, binding to the specific target triggers a conformational change of the recognition moiety, which moves the fluorophore further apart from the AuNP or closer to the surface, thus increasing or decreasing the fluorescence emission.16

Raman spectroscopy has also profited from the use of AuNPs, particularly the anisotropic and irregular-shaped structures. Surface Enhanced Raman Spectroscopy (SERS) considerably enhances the metal surface association of the intrinsically weak Raman signal intensity of biomarkers. For example, nonspherical AuNPs, where the edges of the nanoparticles act as a hotspot for up to 10^{12} to 10^{14} enhancement of the SERS signal, offer a new dimension to multiplexing detection of biomarkers.¹⁷

The Case for Lateral Flow Devices

Lateral flow devices (LFA) are portable devices capable of transferring biological fluids, such as blood or serum, through their capillary action, without requiring an external power supply. LFAs are fast and straightforward to handle, low cost, with acceptable specificity without the need for refrigeration. Several diagnostics have primarily incorporated AuNPs as labels/tags for probes, adding sensitivity to the system. The most well-known LFA immunoassay is the pregnancy test; however, any molecule may be a target, and there are already rapid tests for SARS-CoV-2, HIV, HCV, among others.^{3,18,19}. The principle mechanism of LFAs is the capillary migration of the biomarkers within the sample towards the region where a first recognition element is conjugated to the AuNPs, typically a capture ssDNA oligomer probe or an antigen/antibody. Then, this complex migrates to the detection region through a hydrophobic nitrocellulose membrane or cellulose acetate and is immobilized by a second recognition/capture element. In the control section of the device, the second capture/recognition element immobilizes the initial complex but not the complex of interest, yielding the result. The striking vibrant red color of the AuNPs makes them ideal tags for these systems, rendering them suitable for evaluation by the naked eye.³

Regulations and Standards

The growing number of conceptual devices and platforms for in vitro diagnostics using nanomaterials and AuNPs has sparked a need to create standardized methodologies and regulatory protocols for the fabrication and characterization of nanomaterials²⁰. However, the worldwide regulatory agencies' capability to regulate these products is limited by

the sheer nature and scale of the nanomaterials. One such regulation effort has been undertaken at the Nanotechnology Characterization Laboratory (NCL), a formal scientific interaction of three US Federal agencies: National Cancer Institute (NCI), Food and Drug Administration (FDA), and National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), created to support the growing need for characterization and standardization. The proposed guidelines and protocols span over physic-chemical characterization (i.e., size, morphology, shape, surface charge, etc.), in vitro characterization (i.e., sterility, drug release, targeting, toxicity, etc.), and in vivo characterization (i.e., efficacy, exposure, etc.).

The International Organization for Standardization (ISO) has already implemented some guidelines and certifications, such as ISO/TS 12901: 2012 for the management of risks applied to nanomaterials engineering; ISO/TR 11360: 2010 for classification of nanomaterials, ISO/TS 12025: 2012 for quantification of NOAAs generated by aerosols, and ISO/TS 16195: 2013 with guidelines for tests on materials incorporating nanoscale parts. All the guidelines and certifications must be aligned with the more general directive governing the production and commercialization of *in vitro* diagnostics (IVD) (Directive 98/79/ EC of the European Parliament and the Council of 27 October 1998 on in vitro diagnostic medical devices). Despite these efforts for standardization, the estimated time to market molecular diagnostics systems incorporating nanoparticles and nanomaterials is still considerably long.

Promises and Challenges

Despite significant advances in the use of AuNPs for molecular diagnostics, much remains to be investigated, including improving the robustness and reproducibility of the proposed systems. Perhaps the biggest challenge is the production scale-up of the most innovative concepts and their translation to use in clinics. Several concerns about the control and characterization of each manufactured batch of nanoparticles and subsequent functionalization need careful evaluation under the existing regulatory guidelines and require suitable methods for the required quality control. For all these innovative systems based on AuNPs to reach the in vitro diagnostics market, they must abide by the emergent standards and regulations associated with the existing ISO certificates and guides to impact and change the way we perform diagnostics.

Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank FCT/MCTES for funding to UCIBIO (UIDB/04378/2020). We also thank Catarina Roma-Rodrigues for graphical support.

References

- Paunovska, K.; Loughrey, D.; Sago, C. D.; Langer, R.; Dahlman, J.E. (1)Adv. Mater. 2019, 43, e1902798. doi: 10.1002/adma.201902798. Greish, K.; Mathur, A.; Bakhiet, M.; Taurin, S. Ther. Deliv. 2018, 9,
- (2)269-285. doi: 10.4155/tde-2017-0118.
- Cordeiro, M.; Ferreira Carlos, F.; Pedrosa, P.; Lopez, A.; Baptista, P. V. *Diagnostics* (Basel) **2016**, *6*, 43. doi: 10.3390/diagnostics6040043. (3)
- Herizchi, R.; Abbasi, E.; Milani, M.; Akbarzadeh, A. Artif. Cells (4)Nanomed. Biotechnol. 2016, 44, 596-602.

- (5) Turkevich, J.; Stevenson, P. C.; Hillier, J. Faraday Discussions 1951, 11, 55-75. doi: 10.1039/df9511100055.
- Carnovale, C.; Bryant, G.; Shukla, R.; Bansal, V. Prog. Mater. Sci. 2016, (6) 83, 152-190. doi:10.1016/j.pmatsci.2016.04.003.
- (7) Frens, G. Nat. Phys. Sci. 1973, 241, 20-22. doi:10.1038/physci241020a0. (8) Hu, X.; Zhang, Y.; Ding, T.; Liu, J.; Zhao, H. Front Bioeng Biotechnol. 2020, 8, 990. Doi:10.3389/fbioe.2020.00990.
- (9) Love, J. C.; Estroff, L. A.; Kriebel, J. K.; Nuzzo, R. G.; Whitesides, G.M. Chem Rev. 2005, 105, 1103-1169. doi: 10.1021/cr0300789.
- Conde, J.; Dias, J. T.; Grazú, V.; Moros, M.; Baptista, P. V.; de la Fuente, J. M. Front. Chem. 2014, 2, 48. doi:10.3389/fchem.2014.00048. (10)
- Graczyk, A.; Pawlowska, R.; Jedrzejczyk, D. Chworos, A. Molecules (11)2020, 25, 204. doi:10.3390/molecules25010204.
- (12) Morales, M. A.; Halpern, J. M. Bioconjug. Chem. 2018, 29, 3231-3239. doi:10.1021/acs.bioconjchem.8b00592
- (13) Chang, C. C.; Chen, C. P.; Wu, T. H.; Yang, C. H.; Lin, C. W.; Chen, C. Y. Nanomaterials (Basel) 2019, 9, 861. doi: 10.3390/nano9060861.

- (14) Yang, C. T.; Xu, Y.; Pourhassan-Moghaddam, M., et al. Sensors (Basel) 2019, 19, 323. doi:10.3390/s19020323.
 (15) Rasheed, P.A.; Sandhyarani, N. *Microchim Acta* 2017, 184, 981–1000.
- doi:10.1007/s00604-017-2143-1.
- Bouché, M.; Hsu, J. C.; Dong, Y. C.; Kim, J.; Taking, K.; Cormode, D. P. *Bioconjug Chem.* **2020**, *31*, 303–314. doi: 10.1021/acs. bioconjchem.9b00669. (16)
- (17) Kasera, S.; Herrmann, L.; Barrio, J.; et al. Sci. Rep. 2014, 4, 6785. Doi:10.1038/srep06785.
- (18) Huang, C.; Wen, T.; Shi, F. J; Zeng, X. Y.; Jiao, Y. J. ACS Omega 2020, 5, 12550–12556. doi:10.1021/acsomega.0c01554.
- (19) Kim, H.; Chung, D.- R.; Kang; M. Analyst 2019, 144, 2460-2466. doi:10.1039/C8AN02295J.
- (20) Baer, D. R. Surf. Interface Anal. 2012, 44, 1305-1308. doi:10.1002/ sia.4938.

Surface Functionalized Nanoparticle & Nanostructure Kits

Gold Nanoparticles

32

Functional Group	Particle Size (nm)	Absorption	Cat. No.
NHS ester	5	515-520 nm	900470-1EA
	10	515-520 nm	900473-1EA
	15	520 nm	900474-1EA
	20	524 nm	900475-1EA
	30	526 nm	900476-1EA
	40	530 nm	900477-1EA
	50	535 nm	900478-1EA
	60	540 nm	900479-1EA
	70	548 nm	900480-1EA
	80	553 nm	900481-1EA
	90	564 nm	900482-1EA
	100	572 nm	900483-1EA
naleimide	5	515-520 nm	900458-1EA
	10	515-520 nm	900459-1EA
	15	520 nm	900460-1EA
	20	524 nm	900461-1EA
	30	526 nm	900462-1EA
	40	530 nm	900463-1EA
	50	535 nm	900464-1EA
	60	540 nm	900465-1EA
	70	548 nm	900466-1EA
	80	553 nm	900467-1EA
	90	564 nm	900468-1EA
	100	572 nm	900469-1EA

Gold Nanoshells

Name	Description	Particle Size (nm)	Cat. No.
Gold Nanoshells	Bioready Dried NHS Small Reaction Kit (with 10 Spin Filters) for Conjugation	150	NCXGSNR15010S-1KT
	Bioready Dried Large Reaction Kit (with 1 Spin Filter) NHS for Conjugation	150	NCXGSNR1501L-1KT
	Bioready Dried NHS Small Reaction Kit (with 3 Spin Filters) for Conjugation	150	NCXGSNR1503S-1KT

Gold Nanospheres

Name	Description	Particle Size (nm)	Cat. No.
Gold Nanospheres	Bioready Dried NHS Small Reaction Kit (with 10 Spin Filters) for Conjugation	40	NCXAUNR4010S-1KT
	Bioready Dried NHS Small Reaction Kit (with 3 Spin Filters) for Conjugation	40	NCXAUNR403S-1KT
	Bioready Dried Large Reaction Kit (with 1 Spin Filter) NHS for Conjugation	40	NCXAUNR401L-1KT

Gold Nano-urchins

Functional Group	Particle Size (nm)	Absorption (nm)	Cat. No.
maleimide	50	585	900484-1EA
	60	585	900485-1EA
	70	600	900486-1EA
	80	620	900487-1EA
	90	630	900488-1EA
	100	680	900489-1EA
NHS ester	50	585	900490-1EA
	60	585	900491-1EA
	70	600	900492-1EA
	80	620	900493-1EA
	90	630	900494-1EA
	100	680	900495-1EA

Kits Worth their Weight

Metallic Nanoparticle and Nanostructure Conjugation Kits

The unique optical properties of metallic nanoparticles and nanostructures enable you to easily screen antibodies or develop new diagnostics without doing involved chemistry.

We offer maleimide and N-hydroxysuccinimide (NHS) functionalized kits for one-step conjugation of oligonucleotides, antibodies, proteins, and peptides to allow your research to keep flowing.

Kits come with lyophilized nanoparticles and ready-to-use mixtures. No prior experience with conjugation is required.

For a full list visit: SigmaAldrich.com/conjugationkits



The life science business of Merck operates as MilliporeSigma in the U.S. and Canada.





Merck

Recent Advances on Nanoparticle-Based Imaging Contrast Agents for *In Vivo* Stem Cell Tracking



Chang Xu, Qishuai Feng, Peng Ning, Zhenguang Li, Yao Qin, Yu Cheng*

Translational Medical Center for Stem Cell Therapy & Institute for Regenerative Medicine, Shanghai East Hospital, Tongji University School of Medicine, 1800 Yuntai Road, Shanghai, 200123, China. * E-mail: yucheng@tongji.edu.cn

Introduction

With their great potential in tissue engineering, regenerative medicine, and homing effect, stem cell therapies are promising strategies for treating many incurable diseases, such as Parkinson's disease, liver failure, ischemic heart disease, and cancer. The cell distribution state, migration behaviors, and functionality after engraftment cannot be well elucidated due to a lack of effective in vivo tracing methods, thus impeding the clinical transformation of stem cell therapies. Developing more advanced imaging strategies to label and track transplanted stem cells without affecting their normal physiological functions is necessary to reveal their therapeutic mechanisms and evaluate their safety before clinical trials. The ideal imaging techniques for stem cells should be non-toxic and highresolution, have an extended life, and dynamically provide the cell fate information. A variety of bioimaging strategies have been developed over the past few years. One promising strategy uses well-designed nanoparticles as contrast agents to track stem cells, due to their distinguished physiochemical properties as well as their designability and versatility. Despite this, the existing imaging methods cannot satisfy all the in vivo stem cell tracking requirements. Herein, we provide a brief review of the four most commonly used nanoparticle-based imaging modalities for stem cell tracking: magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), fluorescence imaging, ultrasound imaging (USI), and photoacoustic imaging (PAI) (Figure 1). Special attention is paid to the design of contrast nanoagents, the corresponding imaging mechanism, and the issues these nanoagents have resolved. This serves to shed light on the future design and fabrication of





more advanced contrast agents, approaching an ideal one for tracking transplanted stem cells *in vivo*.

Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI)

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is the preferred method for in vivo stem cell imaging due to its unique features, including no penetration depth limitation, excellent spatial (40 to 100 μ m) and temporal (minutes to hours) resolution, and safe operation.¹ There are two categories of MRI: T1/T1*or T2/T2*weighted MRI, based on the relaxation time of the longitudinal (T1) and transverse (T2) components of the magnetization vector towards equilibrium under the applied magnetic field. MRI contrast agents, including gadolinium (III) chelates and superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles (SIONPs), are introduced to achieve a higher resolution and enhance the signal intensity by altering the relaxation times of surrounding water protons.² Gadolinium(III) chelates are effective T1 contrast agents because they shorten the longitudinal relaxation rate (T1) and increase positive contrast on T1-weighted MRI sequences. As a T2 contrast agent, SIONPs have widely been applied in the field of MRI-based cell tracking to provide negative contrast by shortening the T2/T2* relaxation time. In the following section, nanoparticle-based contrast agents with clinical or commercial proof are reviewed for use in applications in stem cell tracking.

The fundamental requirements for nanoparticle-based stem cell labeling agents include colloidal stability, non-toxicity, high magnetism, and labeling efficiency. Colloidal stability in aqueous solution was typically obtained using hydrophilic polymer coatings, such as chitosan, dextran, PEG, etc. Margarita Gutova et al. reported a preclinical study where neural stem cells (NSCs) labeled with dextran coated ferumoxytol (FDA approval SIONPs) were transplanted into the patients with brain tumors³ NSC distribution was steadily and continuously tracked at multiple points for 12 weeks, followed by surveillance serial MRI.³ High labeling efficiency can also be obtained by modifying the particle surface to have a positive charge or specific ligands. For example, compared to ferumoxytol itself, selfassembling ferumoxytol nano-complexes modified with heparin and protamine sulfate, which reversed the negative charge of the original particle, showed higher labeling efficiency, and a threefold increase in T2 relaxivity. This modification strategy displayed in vivo MRI detection of at least 1,000 HPF-labeled cells implanted in rat brains.⁴ In addition, clinically approved Gd-DTPA wrapped with cationic liposomes could assure a highly efficient uptake of Gd and excellent intracellular retention in mesenchymal stem cells. Gd-DTPA-liposomes complex contrast agent made 500,000 labeled stem cells clearly visible for at least 2 weeks on a 3.0 T clinical scanner, thus overcoming the relatively low MRI sensitivity of Gd-DTPA.⁵

Monitoring migration and survival of stem cells are critical for therapeutic efficacy and safety assessments. For tracking stem cell migration, Lili Jiang et al. found that T2*-weighted MRI could monitor the migration of implanted pluripotent stem cells labeled with SIONPs (Sigma-Aldrich, Darmstadt, Germany) from the injection site to the injured brain areas over 4 weeks.⁶ In evaluating cell survival, Ashok J. Theruvath et al. reported ferumoxytol-labeled apoptotic matrix-associated stem cell implants (MASIs) showed a significant loss of iron signals and longer T2 relaxation time viable 2 weeks after implantation in a cartilage repair process.⁷ When combined with a histopathologic examination, a ferumoxytol-based contrast agent can act as an indicator of the living or dead stem cells.

According to specific tissues, organs, and diseases, the stem cell tracking window ranges from several days to months. The strategy for *in vivo* tracking stem cells requires assessing the distribution, migration, and differentiation of the cells, as well as the efficacy and safety of cell implantation. Despite the rapid development in nanoparticle-based MRI for stem cell tracking, some challenges remain, such as imaging the differentiation and function of stem cells, combining MRI contrast agents with reporter genes, and coupling MRI with other noninvasive imaging tools.

Fluorescence Imaging

Fluorescence imaging is a classical optical imaging technology. Although being low cost and highly sensitive, it has poor tissue penetration (<1 cm) when compared to MRI technology. A solution to this issue is to tune the fluorophore or fluorescent protein to be excited in the near-infrared (NIR) range for deeper infiltration. However, the severe photo-bleaching and light scattering limits the tracking time and efficiency.⁸ The development of fluorescent nanoparticles (NPs) has improved the ability of fluorescent imaging in long-term tracing stem cells *in vivo*.

Quantum dots (QDs) are a series of classical inorganic semiconductor NPs for cell labeling.⁹ QDs optical abilities are based on the transition behaviors of excited electrons between different energy levels, which can be modulated via manipulating the material components or compositions to realize the NIR emission. For example, CdSe/ZnS core/shell structures were designed to label and trace adipose tissuederived stem cells (ASCs) in C57BL/6 mice models under NIR emission.¹⁰ By changing the bandgap, the material design can significantly improve the optical properties of the QDs.¹¹ Wang et al. combined a NIR-II fluorescence QDs of Ag₂S with classical bioluminescence red firefly luciferase (RFLuc) to label human mesenchymal stem cells (hMSCs). They studied the dynamic tracking of the survival and osteogenic differentiation of the transplanted hMSCs in a calvarial defect mouse model in a wide window of 400-1700 nm.¹² Up-conversion nanoparticles (UCNPs), which depend on the shining mechanism of the anti-Stocks process through absorbing several NIR photons to generate one single short-wavelength photon, have also been used to label and track mouse MSCs.13,14 Nevertheless, heavy metal ions in QDs and rare earth metal ions doped in UCNPs are potential safety hazards for clinical stem cell tracing. Aggregation-induced emission (AIE) fluorophores provide the potential to track stem cells with satisfying security and excellent photostability when compared with inorganic NPs.¹⁵⁻¹⁸ AIE fluorophores are non-emissive in the dispersive state but emit strong fluorescence under the aggregative state due to the restriction



Figure 2. A) Structure of single AIE molecular (TPETPAFN) and schematic of the aggregated AIE dots. B) Representative confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM) images of ischemic hind limb slices from mice, administrated with AIE dot-labeled ADSC-containing matrigels for 30 days. C) Representative *in vivo* fluorescence images of the ischemic hind limb-bearing mouse was intramuscularly treated with AIE dot-labeled ADSC-containing matrigel. D) Representative CD31-staining 3D sectional CLSM image of ischemic hind limb slices from mice injected with AIE dot-ADSC-M for 42 days. Adapted with permission from reference 18, copyright 2014 American Chemical Society.

of intramolecular rotation, which is opposite to aggregation caused quenching (ACQ). This unique feature makes AIE NPs or Dots present enduring and stable fluorescent signals. For instance, AIE Dots based on the tetraphenyl ethylene derivative have proven to be capable of tracing the fate of adipose-derived stem cells (ADSCs) in a long-term manner compared with green fluorescent proteins (FPs) or other bioluminescence molecules (**Figure 2**).¹⁸ Additionally, the AIE monomer can easily be designed and adjusted for NIR or even NIR-II emission. The development of AIE Dots is a promising alternative for tracking stem cells with long-term and high stability *in vivo*.

Ultrasound Imaging

36

With the advantages of excellent temporal and spatial resolution and significant tissue penetration depth, ultrasound imaging is a powerful tool for long-term and noninvasive cell tracking in stem cell-based therapies.¹⁹ However, it is limited by the poor contrast between engrafted cells and adjacent soft tissues. Ultrasound contrast agents (UCAs), a class of echogenic materials, are used in clinics to significantly improve the contrast and enhance the echoing signals in detection.²⁰ Conventional UCAs are micro-sized, gas-filled microbubbles composed of a bioinert heavy gas surrounded by stabilizing shells, such as lipids, proteins, and biocompatible polymers.²¹ The potential utility of these microbubbles in stem cell tracking has been constrained by their large microscale size, poor structural stability, and short half-life.

Recently, research has focused on the miniaturization of UCAs, and nanoscale UCAs, such as nanobubbles, silica nanoparticles, and nanotubes, have been developed for ultrasound imaging.²² For nanobubbles, stabilizing the shell structure is a prerequisite.

Leon et al. reported a type of ultrastable nanobubble by adapting a bilayer shell architecture with contrasting elastic properties similar to bacterial cell envelopes.²³ The ultrastable nanobubbles have minimal signal attenuation when treated with ultrasound waves continuously in vitro and have a longer half-life in vivo. However, nanobubbles may not have sufficient echogenicity because they are too small to scatter ultrasonic waves efficiently. A "small to large" transformation strategy has been proposed to solve this problem, in which the nanoscale UCAs can transform into microbubbles under ultrasonic irradiation, thereby enhancing the echoing signals.²⁴ Gasgenerating nanoparticles are typical examples of this aspect.^{25,26} Min et al. presented a carbonate copolymer nanoparticle with a unique chemical gas-generating mechanism, where the nanoparticles are hydrolyzed to produce microscale CO₂ bubbles that efficiently absorb ultrasonic energy.²⁷ For silica nanoparticles and other glass-based nanomaterials, the ultrasound signal is significantly enhanced by the inhomogeneity in the tissue due to the rigid features of these nanoparticles, which display high impedance mismatch at the interface of tissue.²⁸ Chen et al. described a novel exosome-like silica (ELS) nanoparticle with high ultrasound impedance mismatches for stem cell labeling and tracking.²⁹ They found that the unique discoid shape of the nanoparticles, along with their positive charge, facilitated cell uptake and inherently increased echogenicity. In addition, silica nanoparticles with other complex structures, including hollow and mesoporous structures, have shown significant ultrasound contrast.³⁰ These silica-based nanoparticles are promising as UCAs for real-time stem cell tracking via ultrasound imaging owing to their relatively high structural stability, low toxicity, and adjustable structure and size.



Figure 3. A) Schematic of the novel ELS nanoparticles prepared via an emulsion template method. B) TEM image of typical exosomes.
C) SEM image of ELS nanoparticles that are similar to exosomes. D) The echogenicity of human mesenchymal stem cells (hMSCs) increased by ELS. E) Quantification of *in vivo* echogenicity of unlabeled and ELS-labeled hMSCs. Reproduced with permission from reference 29, copyright 2017 Royal Society of Chemistry.

Photoacoustic Imaging

Biosafety and accurate imaging are required for long-term cell tracking *in vivo*. Photoacoustic imaging (PAI) is a promising biomedical imaging modality based on the photoacoustic effect principle.³¹ In detail, the introduction of a pulsed laser generates heat, and the intermittent heat causes expansion, detected by PAI as a mechanical wave. This imaging modality combines the high contrast of optical imaging with deep penetration of ultrasonic imaging, providing real-time and high-resolution information *in vivo*.

PAI contrast nanoagents are widely used *in vivo* to image stem cells due to their excellent photothermal conversion ability and the biocompatibility of nanoparticles. The development of various PAI contrast nanoagents allows the absorption of light from visible light to the near-infrared II region, aiming to reduce the light absorbance and scattering from tissues. Tracking methods merely providing physical information, such as locations, of labeled stem cells do not meet stem cell engraftment study requirements. New platforms to indicate the cell function and viability in real-time are needed for clinical applications. By paying particular attention to the design of contrast nanoagents, recent studies of PAI-based stem cell tracking were summarized in the following aspects: component, shape, size and surface modification, elucidating the critical factors needed for future consideration in PAI contrast agent design (**Table 1**). Among the reported PAI contrast agents, gold nanoparticles have specific advantages owing to their excellent photothermal conversion efficiency, stable imaging ability, and biosafety. The inert characteristic of gold nanoparticles has a minimal sideeffect on cell function.³² Suggs group used gold nanospheres in vivo with different sizes (20 nm, 40 nm, and 60 nm) to label and track mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs).³³ This research illustrated the possibility of loading gold nanoparticles into MSCs for imaging and demonstrated a maintained cell function after loading with nanoparticles. It showed that gold nanoparticles retained in stem cells were detectable within 14 days, indicating the long-term and noninvasive cell tracking by PAI was possible. The anisotropic gold nanoparticles have better photothermal conversion efficiency. Jokerst et al. showed that silica coating could increase the uptake rate of gold nanorods into stem cells up to 5 folds, and the minimum detection limit was down to 100,000 labeled cells in vivo.34

The transplanted microenvironment in vivo is complicated. Ricles et al. used a dual gold nanoparticle system (composed of gold nanorods and gold nanospheres) to track transplanted stem cells and image infiltrated macrophages.³⁵ These studies showed a new method to distinguish delivered stem cells from infiltrated immune cells, which could partially reveal the mechanism of injury healing. Furthermore, PAI was combined with other imaging modalities to enhance the tracking accuracy. Nam et al. combined PAI with ultrasound imaging to monitor MSCs labeled with citrate-stabilized gold nanospheres.³⁶ The combined strategy could provide both morphological information via ultrasound imaging and functional information via photoacoustic imaging to give the spatial information of labeled MSCs. Qiao et al. used magnetic resonance and PAI to track iron oxide (IO)@Au core-shell structure labeled MSCs for brain tumor imaging. This system showed potential for planning cell routes and imaging the stem-cell migration towards brain tumors in real-time.37

Moreover, nanoparticles with NIR absorption were also developed for stem cell tracking via PAI owing to the better penetration depth of NIR light. Kim et al. used Prussian blue nanoparticles with a strong light absorption at 740 nm for stem cell tracking.³⁸ In vivo cell detection limits can reach 200 cells/ μ L, and monitoring can last 14 days after injection due to the excellent bio-stability of the nanoagents and their NIR-I detectability. Yin et al. designed a NIR-II organic semiconductor polymer for stem cell tracking by PAI.³⁹ A 40.6- and 21.7-fold enhancement in subcutaneous and brain imaging were reported, respectively, mainly because of the deep penetration of NIR-II light. To monitor the activities of stem cells after transplantation, Dhada et al. designed a ROS sensitive dye (R775c) coated gold nanorods. In their strategy, the properties that stem cells release ROS to degrade dying cells in vivo was utilized to measure the stem cell viability. Therefore, they could simultaneously image the cell viability and position in vivo using PAI.40

Table 1. The design of nanoparticle-based contrast agents for PAI.

38

Year	Shape	Surface modification	Charge	Size	Concentration	Tracking period
2011 [33]	Gold nanosphere	poly-L-lysine	+ 30 mV	20, 40, 60 nm	1012 nanoparticles/mL	14 days
2012 [34]	Gold nanorod	silica	NA	83× 64 nm	4×10 ¹³ nanoparticles/mL	4 days
2014 [35]	Dual gold nanoparticle system (rods and spheres)	mPEG-SH for spheres; poly-L-lysine for silica rods	-8.41 mV of spheres, +26 mV of rods	50 nm; 56× 16 nm	Both 10 ¹² nanoparticles/mL	7 days
2011 [36]	Gold nanosphere	citrate	NA	20 nm	1.13×10 ¹¹ nanoparticles/mL	<i>in vitro</i> study
2018 [37]	IO@Au core-shell structure	mPEG-NH2	NA	82 nm	4 μg/mL	3 days
2017 [38]	prussian blue nanoparticles	Poly(L-lysine)	+33.9 mV	133.8 nm	50 μg/mL	14 days
2018 [39]	organic semiconducting polymer	Poly(L-lysine)	+42 mV	99.9 nm	50 µg/mL	14 days
2019 [40]	Silica coated R775c@gold nanorod	poly-D-lysine	+8 mV	450 nm	20 µg/mL	10 days

Table 2. Comparison of different imaging modalities.

Imaging modality	Magnetic Resonance Imaging	Fluorescence Imaging	Ultrasound Imaging	Photoacoustic Imaging
Advantages	Deep penetration; High spatial resolution; Safety	High sensitivity/resolution; Highly developed device	Safe, deep penetration; Real-time dynamic imaging	High resolution; 3-dimensional imaging
Disadvantages	Signal decays as the material degrades; Signal intensity does not linearly proportional to its concentration	Light bleaching; Superficial imaging; Pedigree tracer requires transfection and has limited optical penetration	Short life and large size of contrast agents	The blood signals interference; laser penetrable imaging

Overall, the main advantages of nanoagents over organic molecules used for PAI imaging are photostability, water solubility, and biocompatibility. Since the limitations of PAI depends on the penetration and photothermal conversion efficiency of contrast nanoagents, developing nanomaterials with better NIR-II responsive properties and biocompatibilities would be a future direction for PAI imaging.

Conclusion and Outlook

The advancement of stem cell-based therapies is highly dependent on the development of stem cell tracking techniques. Current imaging technologies have merits and drawbacks (**Table 2**). Therefore, the selection of imaging modalities depends on the requirements of specific tissues and imaging depth. A variety of nanoparticles, such as iron oxide nanoparticles, quantum dots, aggregative introduced emission nanoparticles, silica nanoparticles, and gold nanoparticles, have been used for tracing stem cells owing to their unique physicalchemical properties, which could enhance the imaging signals under irradiation. Through elaborately designing their structures and compositions, nanoparticles can significantly improve the performance of existing imaging modalities in terms of imaging resolution, stability, and lifetime.

It should be noted that tracking stem cells *in vivo* remains a significant challenge in the biomedical field. Firstly, the amplitude of the image signal is usually linearly proportional to contrast nanoagent concentration, while the contrast agents in cells are diluted with cell division. Therefore, a new generation of contrast agents which could anti-dilute or stimulate the cell to self-synthesize the contrast nanoagents is highly desirable. Secondly, besides cell division, researches revealed that the nanoparticle amount inside cells reach a balance via endocytosis and exocytosis process, which may cause false positive detection *in vivo*. Further, few contrast agents can image the cell's living state and normal function ability, dramatically restraining the mechanism study of stem cell therapy. Thus, more efforts need to consider this aspect by elaborately designing multifunctional contrast agents. Lastly, further development of both "hardware" imaging devices and "software" nanoparticles of imaging contrast agents are urgently needed to realize precise, long-term, dynamic tracking of transplanted stem cells.

References

- Barrow, M.; Taylor, A.; Murray, P.; Rosseinsky, M. J.; Adams, D. J. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2015, 44, 6733–6748.
- (2) Zhang, B.; Yan, W.; Zhu, Y.; Yang, W.; Le, W.; Chen, B.; Zhu, R.; Cheng, L. Adv. Mater 2018, 30, e1705694.
- (3) Gutova, M.; Frank, J. A.; D'Apuzzo, M.; Khankaldyyan, V.; Gilchrist, M. M.; Annala, A. J.; Metz, M. Z.; Abramyants, Y.; Herrmann, K. A.; Ghoda, L. Y.; Najbauer, J.; Brown, C. E.; Blanchard, M. S.; Lesniak, M. S.; Kim, S. U.; Barish, M. E.; Aboody, K. S.; Moats, R. A. Stem Cells Transl. Med. 2013, 2, 766–775.
- (4) Thu, M. S.; Bryant, L. H.; Coppola, T.; Jordan, E. K.; Budde, M. D.; Lewis, B. K.; Chaudhry, A.; Ren, J.; Varma, N. R.; Arbab, A. S.; Frank, J. A. Nat. Med. 2012, 18, 463–467.
- (5) Guenoun, J.; Koning, G. A.; Doeswijk, G.; Bosman, L.; Wielopolski, P. A.; Krestin, G. P.; Bernsen, M. R. Cell Transplant 2012, 21, 191–205.
- (6) Jiang, L.; Li, R.; Tang, H.; Zhong, J.; Sun, H.; Tang, W.; Wang, H.; Zhu, J. Cell Transplant 2019, 28, 747–755.
- (7) Theruvath, A. J.; Nejadnik, H.; Lenkov, O.; Yerneni, K.; Li, K.; Kuntz, L.; Wolterman, C.; Tuebel, J.; Burgkart, R.; Liang, T.; Felt, S.; Daldrup-Link, H. E. Radiology 2019, 292, 129–137.
- (8) Kircher, M. F.; Gambhir, S. S.; Grimm, J. Nat. Rev. Clin. Oncol. 2011, 8, 677-688.
- (9) Yu, Y.; Feng, C.; Hong, Y. N.; Liu, J. Z.; Chen, S. J.; Ng, K. M.; Luo, K. Q.; Tang, B. Z. Adv. Mater. 2011, 23, 3298–3302.
- (10) Yukawa, H.; Kagami, Y.; Watanabe, M.; Oishi, K.; Miyanoto, Y.; Okamoto, Y.; Tokeshi, M.; Kaji, N.; Noguchi, H.; Ono, K.; Sawada, M.; Baba, Y.; Hamajima, N.; Hayashi, S. *Biomaterials* **2010**, *31*, 4094–4103.
- (11) Yang, Y. M.; Chen, J.; Shang, X. L.; Feng, Z. J.; Chen, C.; Lu, J. Y.; Cai, J. Y.; Chen, Y. Z.; Zhang, J.; Hao, Y. F.; Yang, X.; Li, Y. X.; Chen, S. Y. Adv. Sci. 2019, 6, 1901018
- (12) Huang, D. H.; Lin, S. Y.; Wang, Q. W.; Zhang, Y. J.; Li, C. Y.; Ji, R.; Wang, M.; Chen, G. C.; Wang, Q. B. Adv. Funct. Mater. 2019, 29, 1806546.
- (13) Wang, C.; Cheng, L.; Xu, H.; Liu, Z. Biomaterials 2012, 33, 4872-4881.
- (14) Wilhelm, S. ACS Nano 2017, 11, 10644-10653.
- (15) Li, K.; Zhu, Z. S.; Cai, P. Q.; Liu, R. R.; Tomczak, N.; Ding, D.; Liu, J.; Qin, W.; Zhao, Z. J.; Hu, Y.; Chen, X. D.; Tang, B. Z.; Liu, B. Chem. Mater. 2013, 25, 4181–4187.

- (16)Li, K.; Yamamoto, M.; Chan, S. J.; Chiam, M. Y.; Qin, W.; Wong, P. T. H.; Yim, E. K. F.; Tang, B. Z.; Liu, B. Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 15136-15139.
- (17) Gao, M.; Chen, J. J.; Lin, G. W.; Li, S. W.; Wang, L.; Qin, A. J.; Zhao, Z. J.; Ren, L.; Wang, Y. J.; Tang, B. Z. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2016, 8, 17878-17884.
- Ding, D.; Mao, D.; Li, K.; Wang, X. M.; Qin, W.; Liu, R. R.; Chiam, D. S. Z.; Tomczak, N.; Yang, Z. M.; Tang, B. Z.; Kong, D. L.; Liu, B. ACS Nano **2014**, *8*, 12620-12631. (18)
- Abou-Elkacem, L.; Bachawal, S. V.; Willmann, J. K. Eur. J. Radiol. 2015, (19)84, 1685.
- Son, S.; Min, H. S.; You, D. G.; Kim, B. S.; Kwon, I. C. Nano Today (20)2014, 9, 525-540.
- Li, Y.; Chen, Y.; Du, M.; Chen Zhi-Y. ACS Biomater. Sci. Eng. 2018, 4, (21)2716-2728.
- Smith, B. R.; Gambhir, S. S. Chem. Rev. 2017, 117, 901-986.
- Leon, A.; Perera, R.; Hernandez, C.; Cooley, M.; Jung, O.; Jeganathan, S.; Abenojar, E.; Fishbein, G.; Sojahrood, A. J.; Emerson, C. C.; Stewart, P. L.; Kolios, M. C.; Exner, A. A. *Nanoscale* **2019**, *11*, 15647-(23) 15658.
- Liu, J.; Shang, T.; Wang, F.; Cao, Y.; Hao, L.; Ren, J.; Ran, H.; Wang, Z.; Li, P.; Du, Z. Int. J. Nanomed. **2017**, *12*, 911–923. (24)
- Sun, In-C.; Emelianov, S.; Nanoscale 2019, 11, 16235-16240. (25)
- Gao, S.; Wang, G.; Qin, Z.; Wang, X.; Zhao, G.; Ma, Q.; Zhu, L. Biomaterials **2017**, *112*, 324–335. (26)
- Min, H. S.; Son, S.; You, D. G.; Lee, T. W.; Lee J.; Lee, S.; Yhee, J. Y.; Lee, J.; Han, M. H.; Park, J. H.; Kim, S. H.; Choi, K.; Park, K.; Kim, K.; Kwon, I. C. *Biomaterials* **2016**, *108*, 57–70. (27)

- (28) Ma, M.; Shu, Y.; Tang, Y.; Chen, H. Nano Today 2020, 34, 100897.
- (29) Chen, F.; Ma, M.; Wang, J.; Wang, F.; Chern, S. X.; Zhao, E. R., Jhunjhunwala, A.; Darmadi, S.; Chen, H.; Jokerst, J. V. Nanoscale 2017, 9 (1), 402-411.
- (30) Qi, S.; Zhang, P.; Ma, M.; Yao, M.; Wu, J.; Mäkilä, E., Salonen, J.; Ruskoaho, H.; Xu, Y.; Santos, H. A.; Zhang, H. Small 2019, 15, 1804332
- Wang, L. V.; Hu, S. Science 2012, 335, 1458-1462. (31)
- Ferreira, L. J. Cell Biochem. 2009, 108, 746-752. (32)
- (33) Ricles, L. M.; Nam, S. Y.; Sokolov, K.; Emelianov, S. Y.; Suggs L. J. Int. J. Nanomedicine 2011, 6, 407-416.
- Jokerst, J. V.; Thangaraj, M.; Kempen, P. J.; Sinclair, R.; Gambhir, S. S. (34)
- ACS Nano 2012, 6, 5920-5930. Ricles, L. M.; Nam, S. Y.; Trevi[~]no, E. A.; Emelianov, S. Y.; Suggs, L. J. J. Mater. Chem. B *2014*, *2*, 8220-8230. (35)
- (36) Nam, S. Y.; Ricles, L.; Sokolov, K.; Suggs, L.; Emelianov, S. Proc. of SPIE 2011, 7899.
- Qiao, Y.; Gumin, J.; MacLellan, C. J.; Gao, F.; Bouchard, R.; Lang, F. F.; Stafford, R. J.; Melancon, M. P. *Nanotechnol.* **2018**, *29*, 165101. (37)
- (38) Kim, T.; Lemaster, J. E.; Chen, F.; Li, J.; Jokerst, J. V. ACS Nano 2017, 11, 9022–9032.
- Yin, C.; Wen, G. H.; Liu, C.; Yang, B. G.; Lin, S. E.; Huang, J. W.; Zhao, P. C.; Hong, S.; Wong, D.; Zhang, K. Y.; Chen, X. Y.; Li, G.; Jiang, X. H.; Huang, J. P.; Pu, K. Y.; Wang, L. D.; Bian, L. M. ACS Nano **2018**, *12*, 12201–12211. (39)
- (40) Dhada, K. S.; Hernandez, D. S.; Suggs, L. J. ACS Nano 2019, 13, 7791-7799.

Iron Oxide Nanoparticles

unctional Group	Form	Composition	Particle Size (nm)	Cat. No.
	nanopowder	-	35 - 45	746843-5G
	nanopowder	-	25	746827-5G
	nanopowder	-	25	746835-5G
	nanopowder	-	60 - 80	746878-25G
	nanopowder	-	40 - 60	746851-25G
	nanopowder	Fe 55% Ni 45%	<100 (BET)	677426-5G
	nanopowder	-	<50 (APS)	637149-25G 637149-100G
	nanopowder spherical	-	50 - 100 (SEM)	637106-25G 637106-100G 637106-250G
	nanoparticles solution	5 mg/mL in toluene	9 - 11 (TEM; conforms)	700312-5ML
	nanoparticles solution	5 mg/mL in toluene	5 ±1 nm (TEM; conforms)	700320-5ML
	nanoparticles solution	5 mg/mL in toluene	18 - 22 (TEM)	700304-5ML
	dispersion nanoparticles	5 mg/mL in H ₂ O	4 - 6 (TEM)	725331-5ML
	dispersion nanoparticles	5 mg/mL in H ₂ O	9-11 (TEM)	725358-5ML
	dispersion nanoparticles	5 mg/mL in H ₂ O	18 - 22	725366-5ML
	nanopowder	-	<50 (BET)	544884-5G 544884-25G
	nanoparticles	15 wt. % in ethanol	≤110	720712-100G
	nanoparticles	20 wt. % in H ₂ O	≤110	720704-100G
	dispersion	20 wt. % in water	<5 (DLS)	796093-100ML
odamine B	dispersion nanoparticles	1 mg/mL in H_2O	10 (TEM)	900146-2ML
extran	dispersion nanoparticles	10 mg/mL in H_2O	5 (TEM)	900147-2ML
	dispersion nanoparticles	5 mg/mL in chloroform	10 (TEM)	900084-5ML
G	dispersion nanoparticles	1 mg/mL in H_2O	15 (TEM)	900026-10ML

unctional Group	Form	Composition	Particle Size (nm)	Cat. No.
EG	dispersion nanoparticles	1 mg/mL in H ₂ O	25 (TEM)	900027-10ML
eic acid	dispersion nanoparticles	5 mg/mL in toluene	15 (TEM)	900063-5ML
	dispersion nanoparticles	5 mg/mL in H ₂ O	15 (TEM)	900043-5ML
	dispersion nanoparticles	5 mg/mL in H_2O	25 (TEM)	900042-5ML
	dispersion nanoparticles	5 mg/mL in H_2O	30 (TEM)	900062-5ML
eic acid	dispersion nanoparticles	5 mg/mL in toluene	25 (TEM)	900064-5ML
	dispersion nanoparticles	5 mg/mL in toluene	30 (TEM)	900081-5ML
	dispersion nanoparticles	5 mg/mL in chloroform	5 (TEM)	900082-5ML
otin	dispersion nanoparticles	1 mg/mL (in 10 mM PBS buffer)	15 (TMS)	900037-1ML
eic acid	dispersion nanoparticles	5 mg/mL in chloroform	15 (TEM)	900083-5ML
	dispersion nanoparticles	5 mg/mL in chloroform	20 (TEM)	900088-5ML
	dispersion nanoparticles	5 mg/mL in chloroform	25 (TEM)	900089-5ML
	dispersion nanoparticles	5 mg/mL in chloroform	30 (TEM)	900090-5ML
reptavidin	dispersion nanoparticles	1 mg/mL in H_2O	10 (TEM)	900091-1ML
	dispersion nanoparticles	1 mg/mL in H_2O	15 (TEM)	900092-1ML
	dispersion nanoparticles	1 mg/mL in H_2O	20 (TEM)	900093-1ML
	dispersion nanoparticles	1 mg/mL in H_2O	25 (TEM)	900094-1ML
	dispersion nanoparticles	1 mg/mL in H_2O	30 (TEM)	900148-1ML
arboxylic acid	dispersion nanoparticles	5 mg/mL in H ₂ O	25 (TEM)	900201-2ML
mine	dispersion nanoparticles	1 mg/mL in H_2O	15 (TEM)	900199-10ML
arboxylic acid	dispersion nanoparticles	5 mg/mL in H_2O	15 (TEM)	900200-2ML
HS ester	nanopowder powder	-	15 (TEM)	900041-1G
	powdei			

EDC Conjugation Kits for Iron Oxide Nanoparticles

Functional Group	Form	Composition	Particle Size (nm)	Cat. No.
carboxylic acid	nanoparticles	5 mg/mL	5	OCNICK05-1KT
	nanoparticles	5 mg/mL	10	OCNICK10-1KT
	nanoparticles	5 mg/mL	15	OCNICK15-1KT
	nanoparticles	5 mg/mL	20	OCNICK20-1KT
	nanoparticles	5 mg/mL	25	OCNICK25-1KT
	nanoparticles	5 mg/mL	30	OCNICK30-1KT

Merck

41

SMCC Conjugation Kits for Iron Oxide Nanoparticles

Functional Group	Form	Composition	Particle Size (nm)	Cat. No.
amine	nanoparticles	5 mg/mL	5	OCNIAK05-1KT
	nanoparticles	5 mg/mL	10	OCNIAK10-1KT
	nanoparticles	5 mg/mL	15	OCNIAK15-1KT
	nanoparticles	5 mg/mL	20	OCNIAK20-1KT
	nanoparticles	5 mg/mL	25	OCNIAK25-1KT
	nanoparticles	5 mg/mL	30	OCNIAK30-1KT

Iron Oxide Incorporated Conjugated Polymer Nanoparticles

Fluorescence Emission (nm)	Concentration	Cat. No.
$\lambda_{\rm em} = 475$	100 µg/mL in H ₂ O	905054-250UL
$\lambda_{\rm em} = 510$	100 μg/mL in H ₂ O	905038-250UL
$\lambda_{\rm em} = 680$	100 µg/mL in H ₂ O	904996-250UL
$\lambda_{em} = 550$	100 μg/mL in H ₂ O	905046-250UL



subscribe Today

Don't miss another topically focused technical review.

It's **free** to sign up for a print or digital subscription of *Material Matters*[™].

- Advances in cutting-edge materials
- Technical reviews on emerging technology from leading scientists
- Peer-recommended materials with application notes
- Product and service recommendations



To view the library of past issues or to subscribe, visit **SigmaAldrich.com/mm**

Merck

MATERIALS RESEARCH SOCIETY MID-CAREER RESEARCHER AWARD

The Mid-Career Researcher Award recognizes exceptional achievements in materials research made by midcareer professionals. It is not limited to the method of characterization or the class of materials observed.



Zhenan Bao

baogroup. stanford.edu

Zhenan Bao

Affiliation: Stanford University

For pioneering contributions and conceptual developments to organic electronics and skin-inspired electronics

Zhenan Bao is Department Chair and K.K. Lee Professor of Chemical Engineering, and by courtesy, Professor of Chemistry and Professor of Material Science and Engineering at Stanford University. Bao founded the Stanford Wearable Electronics Initiate (eWEAR) in 2016 and serves as the faculty director. Prior to joining Stanford in 2004, she was a Distinguished Member of Technical Staff in Bell Labs, Lucent Technologies from 1995-2004. Bao received her Ph.D in Chemistry from the University of Chicago in 1995.

She has over 550 peer-reviewed publications and over 65 US patents with a Google Scholar H-Indes of >170. Bao is a member of the National Academy of Engineering and the National Academy of Inventors.

In 2015, Bao was selected by Nature's "10 people who mattered" as a "Master of Materials" for her work on artificial electronic skin. She was awarded the inaugural ACS Central Science Disruptor and Innovator Prize in 2020 and the Gibbs Medal by the Chicago session of ACS both in 2020.

Over the past decade, Bao's group has developed material design concepts that add skin-like functions to organic electronic materials without compromising their electronic properties. These new materials and devices hold great promise for a range of innovative applications in medical devices, robotics and wearable electronics.

MRS acknowledges the generosity of Merck in endowing this award.

The life science business of Merck operates as MilliporeSigma in the U.S. and Canada.

© 2021 Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany and/or its affiliates. All Rights Reserved. Merck, Material Matters, the vibrant M, and Sigma-Aldrich are trademarks of Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany or its affiliates. All other trademarks are the property of their respective owners. Detailed information on trademarks is available via publicly accessible resources. Lit. No. MK_BR8046EN 36371 07/2021